

Intuitionistic fuzzy projective modules and intuitionistic fuzzy homomorphisms

Behnam Talaei Mehrnoosh Sobhani Oskooie

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the structure of intuitionistic fuzzy (IF) homomorphisms, exact sequences and some other concepts in category of IF modules. We study on IF exact sequences and IF Hom functors in $IFR - Mod$ and obtain some results about them. If R is a commutative ring and $\bar{0} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C$ is an exact sequence in $IFR - Mod$, where \tilde{f} is IF split homomorphism, then we show that $Hom_{IFR-R}(D, -)$ preserves the sequence for every $D \in IFR - Mod$. Also IF projective modules will be introduced and investigated in this paper. Finally we define product and coproduct of IF modules and show that if M is an R -module, $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$ and $e_i \in E(R)$ for any $i \in I$, then

$$Hom(\coprod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Hom(0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A).$$

§1 Introduction

After the definition of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [28], a number of applications of this fundamental concept have come up. Rosenfeld [23] was the first one who defined the concept of fuzzy subgroups of a group. Negoita and Ralescu [18] applied this concept to modules and defined fuzzy submodules of a module.

A category C is given by a collection C_0 of *objects* and a collection C_1 of *morphisms* which have the following structure:

- (i) Each arrow has a *domain* and a *codomain* which are objects; one writes $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ if X is the domain of the morphism f , and Y its codomain.
- (ii) Given two morphisms f and g such that $cod(f) = dom(g)$, the composition of f and g , written gf , is defined and has domain $dom(f)$ and codomain $cod(g)$: $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$

Received: 2021-02-25. Revised: 2022-02-27.

MR Subject Classification: 03B20, 08A72, 13D07.

Keywords: intuitionistic fuzzy submodules, intuitionistic fuzzy homomorphisms, intuitionistic fuzzy Hom functors, $IFR - Mod$.

Digital Object Identifier(DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11766-025-4381-z>.

such that $X \xrightarrow{gf} Z$.

- (iii) Composition is *associative*, that is given $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Z \rightarrow W$, $h(gf) = (hg)f$.
- (iv) For every object X there is an identity morphism $id_X : X \rightarrow X$, satisfying $id_X g = g$ for every $g : Y \rightarrow X$ and $f id_X = f$ for every $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

For example the category of (fuzzy) R -modules has objects R -modules and morphisms (fuzzy) R -homomorphisms. We denote the categories of R -modules, fuzzy R -modules and intuitionistic fuzzy R -modules by $R\text{-Mod}$, $FR\text{-Mod}$ and $IFR\text{-Mod}$, respectively.

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of fuzzy intuitionistic sets was introduced by K T Atanassov in [2]. Using this idea, B Davvaz [10] established the intuitionistic fuzzification of the concept of submodules of a module.

[3–5, 9, 22] are some other researches about intuitionistic fuzzy groups and modules and [6, 7, 11, 15, 17, 24–27] are some recent researches and applications of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, graphs and modules.

Pan [19, 21] made the $Hom(\mu_A, \nu_B)$ into a fuzzy module and investigated the properties of the functors $Hom(\mu_A, -) : FR\text{-Mod} \rightarrow FR\text{-Mod}$ and $Hom(-, \nu_B) : FR\text{-Mod} \rightarrow FR\text{-Mod}$. Isaac [12] gave an alternate definition for projective L -modules and investigated these fuzzy modules. Chen [7] studied the relation between projective S -acts and Hom functors in the category of S -acts and Liu [14] studied the Hom functors and tensor product functors in the category of fuzzy S -acts. In this paper, we study the properties of Hom functor in intuitionistic fuzzy modules category. We obtain some properties about IF modules category and Hom functors in this category. IF projective modules and their relationship with exact sequences in this category will be introduced and investigated.

Definition 1.1. [28] By a *fuzzy set (or fuzzy subset)* of a module M , we mean the map μ from M to $[0, 1]$. By $[0, 1]^M$ we will denote the set of all fuzzy subsets of M .

For each fuzzy subset μ of M and any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we define two sets $U(\mu, \alpha) = \{x \in M | \mu(x) \geq \alpha\}$, $L(\mu, \alpha) = \{x \in M | \mu(x) \leq \alpha\}$, which are called an *upper level cut* and a *lower level cut* of μ , respectively. The complement of μ , denoted by μ^c , is the fuzzy set on M defined by $\mu^c(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$.

Definition 1.2. [20] If $N \subseteq M$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ then α_N is defined as,

$$\alpha_N(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & x \in N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $N = \{x\}$ then α_x is often called a *fuzzy point* and is denoted by x_α . When $\alpha = 1$ then 1_N is known as the characteristic function of N . We will denote the characteristic function of N as χ_N .

Now let $X \subseteq M$ and $\mu, \sigma \in [0, 1]^X$, then

- (1) $\mu \subseteq \sigma$ if and only if $\mu(x) \leq \sigma(x)$, for every $x \in X$;

$$(2) (\mu \cup \sigma)(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \sigma(x)\} = \mu(x) \vee \sigma(x), \text{ for every } x \in X;$$

$$(3) (\mu \cap \sigma)(x) = \min\{\mu(x), \sigma(x)\} = \mu(x) \wedge \sigma(x), \text{ for every } x \in X;$$

$$(4) \mu \times \sigma(x, y) = \min\{\mu(x), \sigma(y)\} = \mu(x) \wedge \sigma(y), \text{ for every } x, y \in X;$$

For any family $\{\mu_i | i \in I\}$ of fuzzy subsets of M , where I is any nonempty index set,

$$(5) \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i\right)(x) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(x) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mu_i(x), \text{ for every } x \in M;$$

$$(6) \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i\right)(x) = \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mu_i(x), \text{ for every } x \in M.$$

Definition 1.3. [18] Let M be a left R -module. The $\mu \in [0, 1]^M$ is called a *fuzzy (left) R -module* (fuzzy R -submodule of M) if

$$1) \mu(x + y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y), \text{ for every } x, y \in M;$$

$$2) \mu(rx) \geq \mu(x) \text{ for every } x \in M, r \in R;$$

$$3) \mu(0) = 1.$$

Similarly, we can define fuzzy right R -modules.

Definition 1.4. [18] Let A, B be two R -modules. For two fuzzy R -modules μ_A of A and ν_B of B , a function $\tilde{f} : \mu_A \rightarrow \nu_B$ is called a *fuzzy R -homomorphism*, if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an R -homomorphism and satisfies $\nu(f(a)) \geq \mu(a)$ for every $a \in A$.

For simplicity, denote by $\text{Hom}(\mu_A, \nu_B)$ the set of all fuzzy R -homomorphisms from μ_A to ν_B .

Definition 1.5. [16] If μ and σ are two fuzzy submodules of a module M , then define $(\mu + \sigma)(x) = \vee\{\mu(y) \wedge \sigma(z) \mid y, z \in M, y + z = x\}$, for every $x \in M$. It is not difficult to see that if μ and σ are two fuzzy submodules of M , then $\mu + \sigma$ is a fuzzy submodule of M .

§2 Intuitionistic fuzzy modules, basic notions and properties

Throughout this article R means an associative ring with unity and M denotes a unitary left R -module while $R\text{-Mod}$ denotes the category of all left R -modules.

Definition 2.1. [2] An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (briefly as *IFS*) A of a non-void set X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)); x \in X\}$, where the maps $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, are fuzzy subsets of X , denote respectively the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\nu_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in X$.

For the sake of simplicity, we denote an *IFS*, $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)); x \in X\}$ of the set X by $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ or briefly A , and the set of all *IFS* of X by $\text{IFS}(X)$.

If X is a nonempty set and $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$ are two *IFS* of X , then $A \subseteq B$, if and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$, for every $x \in X$;
 $A = B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)$, for every $x \in X$;

$$A^c = (\nu_A, \mu_A);$$

$$A \cap B = \{(x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(x)); x \in X\};$$

$$A \cup B = \{(x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \wedge \nu_B(x)); x \in X\}.$$

Let $\{A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of *IFS* of X . Then

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = (\mu_{(\cap_{i \in I} A_i)}, \nu_{(\cap_{i \in I} A_i)}) = \{(x, \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mu_{A_i}(x), \bigvee_{i \in I} \nu_{A_i}(x)); x \in X\} \text{ and}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = (\mu_{(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)}, \nu_{(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)}) = \{(x, \bigvee_{i \in I} \mu_{A_i}(x), \bigwedge_{i \in I} \nu_{A_i}(x)); x \in X\}.$$

Definition 2.2. [5] Let G be a group. An *IFS* $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ of G is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup* of G if the following conditions hold for every $x, y \in G$

$$(1) \mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y);$$

$$(2) \nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_A(y);$$

$$(3) \mu_A(x^{-1}) \geq \mu_A(x) \text{ (consequently } \mu_A(x^{-1}) = \mu_A(x));$$

$$(4) \nu_A(x^{-1}) \leq \nu_A(x) \text{ (consequently } \nu_A(x^{-1}) = \nu_A(x)).$$

Definition 2.3. [13] Let M be an R -module and $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ an *IFS* of M . Then A is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy submodule* of M if A satisfies the following conditions:

$$(1) \mu_A(0) = 1, \nu_A(0) = 0,$$

$$(2) \begin{aligned} \mu_A(x+y) &\geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y), & \text{for every } x, y \in M, \\ \nu_A(x+y) &\leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_A(y), & \text{for every } x, y \in M. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \begin{aligned} \mu_A(rx) &\geq \mu_A(x), & \text{for every } x \in M \text{ and } r \in R, \\ \nu_A(rx) &\leq \nu_A(x), & \text{for every } x \in M \text{ and } r \in R. \end{aligned}$$

If $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy submodule of an R -module M , we write A is an *IFM* of M and denote by $A \leq_{IF} M$. In this case we say A is an intuitionistic fuzzy module too.

We use by $IFS(M)$, the set of all *IFM* of M and $IFR-Mod$, the category of all IF R -modules.

Definition 2.4. [13] Let $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$ be two *IFM*'s of M . Then the *IFM*, $A + B$ of M is $A + B = \{(x, \mu_{A+B}(x), \nu_{A+B}(x)); x \in M\}$ defined as

$$\mu_{A+B}(x) = \bigvee \{\mu_A(y) \wedge \mu_B(z) \mid x = y + z; y, z \in M\},$$

$$\nu_{A+B}(x) = \bigwedge \{\nu_A(y) \vee \nu_B(z) \mid x = y + z; y, z \in M\}.$$

For an *IFM*, $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ of M and for any $r \in R$, define the *IFS*, $rA = (\mu_{rA}, \nu_{rA})$ such that for every $x \in M$

$$\mu_{rA}(x) = \bigvee \{\mu_A(y) \mid x = ry; y \in M\},$$

and

$$\nu_{rA}(x) = \bigwedge \{\nu_A(y) \mid x = ry; y \in M\}.$$

So the *IFM*, $-A = (\mu_{-A}, \nu_{-A})$ will be defined as $\mu_{-A}(x) = \mu_A(-x)$ and $\nu_{-A}(x) = \nu_A(-x)$ for every $x \in M$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A, B be two IFM's of an R -module M . Then $A + B$ and rA for every $r \in R$, are IFM's of M .*

Proof. It is straightforward and follows from definitions. \square

Definition 2.6. Let M be an R -module, $N \subseteq M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. We define the *IFS* $\alpha_N = (\mu_{\alpha_N}, \nu_{\alpha_N})$ of M as follows

$$\mu_{\alpha_N}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & x \in N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\alpha_N}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta, & x \in N, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every $x \in M$.

If $\alpha = 1$, then $\mu_{\alpha_N} = \chi_N$ and $\nu_{\alpha_N} = \chi_N^c$, where χ_N denotes the characteristic function of N . In this case we write $\alpha_N = \chi_N^{IF} = (\chi_N, \chi_N^c)$.

We denote χ_0^{IF} by $\bar{0}$ or 0_N^{IF} and χ_N^{IF} by 1_N^{IF} , too. If $A \leq_{IF} M$, then $\chi_0^{IF} \leq_{IF} A \leq_{IF} \chi_M^{IF}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be an R -module and $N \subseteq M$. Then $N \leq M$ if and only if $\chi_N^{IF} \leq_{IF} M$.*

Proof. Suppose that N is a submodule of M . Then $0 \in N$ and hence

$$\chi_N(0) = 1 \text{ and } \chi_N^c(0) = 0.$$

Now let $x, y \in M$. If $x, y \in N$, then $x + y \in N$, so $1 = \chi_N(x + y) \geq \chi_N(x) \wedge \chi_N(y)$ and $0 = \chi_N^c(x + y) \leq \chi_N^c(x) \vee \chi_N^c(y)$.

If $x \notin N$, then

$$\chi_N(x + y) \geq \chi_N(x) \wedge \chi_N(y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_N^c(x + y) \leq \chi_N^c(x) \vee \chi_N^c(y) = 1.$$

Similar to this case we get if $y \notin N$.

Now let $x \in M$ and $r \in R$. If $x \in N$, then $rx \in N$ and so we have

$$1 = \chi_N(rx) \geq \chi_N(x) \text{ and } 0 = \chi_N^c(x) \leq \chi_N^c(rx).$$

If $x \notin N$, then $0 = \chi_N(x) \leq \chi_N(rx)$ and also $1 = \chi_N^c(x) \geq \chi_N^c(rx)$.

Therefore χ_N^{IF} is an IFM of M .

Conversely suppose that χ_N^{IF} is an IFM of M . So $\chi_N(0) = 1$ and hence $0 \in N$. Now let $x, y \in N$ and $r \in R$, then $\chi_N(rx + y) \geq \chi_N(rx) \wedge \chi_N(y) \geq \chi_N(x) \wedge \chi_N(y) = 1$. So $rx + y \in N$. That is N is a submodule of M . \square

Example 2.8.

- (1) Since $n\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}$ so $\chi_{n\mathbb{Z}}^{IF} = (\chi_{n\mathbb{Z}}, \chi_{n\mathbb{Z}}^c)$ is IFM of \mathbb{Z} for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Q}$ and hence $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{IF}$ is an IF submodule of \mathbb{Q} .
- (3) $\mathbb{Z}_p^\infty \leq \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}$ and hence $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\infty}^{IF}$ is an IF submodule of $\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Definition 2.9. Let M, N be two R -modules and $f : M \longrightarrow N$ an R -homomorphism. Let $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$ and $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \leq_{IF} N$. Then we define $f(A) = (\mu_{f(A)}, \nu_{f(A)})$ and

$f^{-1}(B) = (\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}, \nu_{f^{-1}(B)})$ by

$$(\mu_{f(A)})(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee \{\mu_A(x) \mid y = f(x)\}, & y \in \text{Im}(f), \\ 0, & y \notin \text{Im}(f), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\nu_{f(A)})(y) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge \{\nu_A(x) \mid y = f(x)\}, & y \in \text{Im}(f), \\ 1, & y \notin \text{Im}(f), \end{cases}$$

and for every $x \in M$

$$(\mu_{f^{-1}(B)})(x) = \mu_B(f(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\nu_{f^{-1}(B)})(x) = \nu_B(f(x)).$$

Proposition 2.10. *If $A \leq_{IF} M$, $B \leq_{IF} N$ and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -homomorphism. Then $f(A) \leq_{IF} N$ and $f^{-1}(B) \leq_{IF} M$.*

Proof. It is clear. □

§3 Intuitionistic fuzzy homomorphisms

In this section we introduce intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphisms and investigate various properties of them.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a ring and M, N be R -modules such that $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$ and $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \leq_{IF} N$. We call the function $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$ an *intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphism*, if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -homomorphism and $\mu_B(f(x)) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_B(f(x)) \leq \nu_A(x)$ for every $x \in M$.

For simplicity, we denote by $\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$ the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphisms from A to B .

Example 3.2.

- (1) The identity map $id : M \rightarrow M$ is an IF homomorphism ($\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow A$) for every IF submodule A of M .
- (2) Let A, B be two IF submodules of \mathbb{Z} defined by

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \end{cases},$$

$$\nu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{3}, & x \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \end{cases},$$

and

$$\mu_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in 3\mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{5}, & x \notin 3\mathbb{Z} \end{cases},$$

$$\nu_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in 3\mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{5}, & x \notin 3\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}.$$

Define $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$ such that $(f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) f(x) = 2x$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then f is an R -homomorphism but \tilde{f} is not an IF homomorphism (See that $\frac{1}{5} = \mu_B(f(2)) < \mu_A(2) = 1$).

Definition 3.3. We call an intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$, *fuzzy split*, if there is an intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphism $\tilde{t} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(B, A)$ such that the composition $\tilde{t}\tilde{f} = id$.

Definition 3.4. We call an intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$, *intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-isomorphism*, if f is an isomorphism.

If $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$ is an IF R -homomorphism, define $\ker \tilde{f} = \left\{ a \in A \mid \begin{array}{l} \mu_B(\tilde{f}(a)) = 1, \\ \nu_B(\tilde{f}(a)) = 0, \end{array} \right\}$
and $Im \tilde{f} = \{\tilde{f}(a) \mid a \in A\}$.

Definition 3.5. We call an intuitionistic fuzzy R -homomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$, *intuitionistic fuzzy isomorphism*, if f is an isomorphism and $\mu_B(\tilde{f}(a)) = \mu_A(a)$, $\nu_B(\tilde{f}(a)) = \nu_A(a)$ for every $a \in M$.

Note that $\ker \tilde{f} = \ker f$ is not true in general, but $\ker f \subseteq \ker \tilde{f}$.

If $\ker \tilde{f} = \{0\}$ then \tilde{f} is monomorphism as

$$f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow f(x - y) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mu_N(\tilde{f}(x - y)) = 1; \\ \nu_N(\tilde{f}(x - y)) = 0. \end{cases} \Rightarrow x - y \in \ker \tilde{f} = \{0\} \Rightarrow x = y.$$

But the reverse is not true, it means if \tilde{f} is a monomorphism then it need not that $\ker \tilde{f} = \{0\}$.

Example 3.6. If $B = 1_M^{IF}$, then $\ker \tilde{f} = M$, for every $A \in IFR\text{-}Mod$ and $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$. Especially let $M = N = \mathbb{Z}$, $A = B = 1_M^{IF}$ and $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$ be the identity map. Then $\ker f = \{0\}$ but $\ker \tilde{f} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let R be a ring. If $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$, where A and B are two IF R -modules, such that $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$ and $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \leq_{IF} N$, then

(1) $\ker \tilde{f}$ is a submodule of M .

(2) Define $\mu' \mid_{\ker \tilde{f}} : \ker \tilde{f} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\nu' \mid_{\ker \tilde{f}} : \ker \tilde{f} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\begin{cases} \mu'(k) = \mu(k); \\ \nu'(k) = \nu(k). \end{cases}$ for every $k \in \ker \tilde{f}$.

Then $A' = (\mu' \mid_{\ker \tilde{f}}, \nu' \mid_{\ker \tilde{f}})$ is an IF submodule of A .

Proof. (1) Let 0 be the zero element of M . Obviously, we have $0 \in \ker \tilde{f}$. Given $a \in \ker \tilde{f}$ and $r \in R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B(\tilde{f}(ra)) &= \mu_B(r\tilde{f}(a)) \geq \mu_B(\tilde{f}(a)) = 1, \\ \nu_B(\tilde{f}(ra)) &= \nu_B(r\tilde{f}(a)) \leq \nu_B(\tilde{f}(a)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we get $ra \in \ker \tilde{f}$. Particularly we have $-a \in \ker \tilde{f}$. If $a, b \in \ker \tilde{f}$, we can easily get $a + b \in \ker \tilde{f}$. This proves that $\ker \tilde{f}$ is a submodule of A .

(2) The proof is obvious. □

§4 IF exact sequences and IF Hom functors in IFR-Mod

In the following, we will define a function from $Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$ to $[0, 1]$ and make $Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$ into an intuitionistic fuzzy R -module.

In R -Mod, the sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} K \longrightarrow 0$ is called a *short exact sequence* if f is a monomorphism, g is an epimorphism and $Im f = \ker g$. We know that f is monomorphism iff $\ker f = \{0\}$. We denote by $\bar{0}$ the IF zero module.

Definition 4.1. Let A, B and C be IF R -modules of M, N and K respectively. A short exact sequence is a sequence of the form $\bar{0} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \longrightarrow \bar{0}$, where \tilde{f} is a monomorphism, \tilde{g} is an epimorphism and $Im \tilde{f} = \ker \tilde{g}$.

Note that $\ker \tilde{f}$ is usually larger than $\{0\}$ by Definition 3.5. Hence, the crisp case of the definition is different from the well-known notion of short exact sequence in the category IFR -mod.

If $C = 1_K$, we get $Im \tilde{f} = \ker \tilde{g} = N$. As \tilde{f} is monic, so we conclude that \tilde{f} is quasi-isomorphism.

Definition 4.2. An IF R -module $P = (\mu_P, \nu_P)$ is called *projective* if for every surjective IF R -homomorphism $\tilde{f} : A \longrightarrow B$ and for every IF R -homomorphism $\tilde{g} : P \longrightarrow B$, there exists an IF R -homomorphism $\tilde{h} : P \longrightarrow A$ such that $\tilde{f}\tilde{h} = \tilde{g}$.

Remark 4.3. If P is a projective IF R -module, then $P = \bar{0}$.

Proof. Let $P = (\mu_P, \nu_P) \leq_{IF} M$ be a projective IF R -module and $A = \bar{0} \leq_{IF} M, B = P \leq_{IF} M$. Let $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g} = id_M$. Then there exists $\tilde{h} = id : P \longrightarrow A$, since P is projective. We must have $\mu_A(h(x)) \geq \mu_P(x)$ and $\nu_A(h(x)) \leq \nu_P(x)$ for every $x \in P$. This implies $P \subseteq A = \bar{0}$. \square

Theorem 4.4. Let R be a commutative ring and $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M, B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \leq_{IF} N$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy R -modules. Then $Hom_{IF-R}(A, B) = (\alpha, \beta)$ is an IF R -module with membership function $\alpha : Hom_{IF-R}(A, B) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ and non-membership function $\beta : Hom_{IF-R}(A, B) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\alpha(\tilde{f}) = \bigwedge \{ \mu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M \} \text{ and } \beta(\tilde{f}) = \bigvee \{ \nu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M \}.$$

Proof. Assume $r \in R$ and $\tilde{f} \in Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$. Define a function $r.\tilde{f} : A \longrightarrow B$ by $r.\tilde{f}(x) = r\tilde{f}(x)$ for every $x \in M$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B(r.\tilde{f}(x)) &= \mu_B(r\tilde{f}(x)) \geq \mu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \geq \mu_A(x) \\ \nu_B(r.\tilde{f}(x)) &= \nu_B(r\tilde{f}(x)) \leq \nu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \leq \nu_A(x) \end{aligned}$$

This concludes that $r.\tilde{f} \in Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$. Hence we show that $Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$ is an R -module.

We now have to prove that $Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$ is an IF R -module. Suppose that $r \in R, \tilde{f} \in Hom_{IF-R}(A, B)$ and $x \in M$. By

$$\mu_B(r.\tilde{f}(x)) = \mu_B(r\tilde{f}(x)) \geq \mu_B(\tilde{f}(x)),$$

$$\nu_B(r.\tilde{f}(x)) = \nu_B(r\tilde{f}(x)) \leq \nu_B(\tilde{f}(x)),$$

we have, $\bigwedge\{\mu_B(r.\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M\} \geq \bigwedge\{\mu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M\}$ and $\bigvee\{\nu_B(r.\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M\} \leq \bigvee\{\nu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M\}$. This implies that $\alpha(r.\tilde{f}) \geq \alpha(\tilde{f})$ and $\beta(r.\tilde{f}) \leq \beta(\tilde{f})$.

If $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\tilde{f} + \tilde{g}) &= \bigwedge\{\mu_B(\tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{g}(x)) \mid x \in M\} \geq \bigwedge\{\bigwedge(\mu_B(\tilde{f}(x)), \mu_B(\tilde{g}(x))) \mid x \in M\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge\{\bigwedge\{\mu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M\}, \bigwedge\{\mu_B(\tilde{g}(x)) \mid x \in M\}\} = \bigwedge\{\alpha(\tilde{f}), \alpha(\tilde{g})\}, \\ \beta(\tilde{f} + \tilde{g}) &= \bigvee\{\nu_B(\tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{g}(x)) \mid x \in M\} \leq \bigvee\{\bigvee(\nu_B(\tilde{f}(x)), \nu_B(\tilde{g}(x))) \mid x \in M\} \\ &\leq \bigvee\{\bigvee\{\nu_B(\tilde{f}(x)) \mid x \in M\}, \bigvee\{\nu_B(\tilde{g}(x)) \mid x \in M\}\} = \bigvee\{\beta(\tilde{f}), \beta(\tilde{g})\}. \end{aligned}$$

We obviously have that $\begin{cases} \alpha(0) = 1; \\ \beta(0) = 0. \end{cases}$. Therefore $\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$ is an IF R -module. \square

Let M be an R -module and A IF submodules of M . Then we define the functor $\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, -)$ from $IFR\text{-Mod}$ to $IFR\text{-Mod}$ such that for every two IF submodules B and C of M and IF homomorphism $\tilde{f} : B \rightarrow C$, $\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, -)(B) = \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B)$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, -)(\tilde{f}) = \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, \tilde{f}) : \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, C)$$

such that $\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(A, \tilde{f})(f) = \tilde{f} \circ f$. Similarly the functor $\text{Hom}_{IF-R}(-, A)$ can be defined. We call these two functors, the Hom functors from $IFR\text{-Mod}$ to $IFR\text{-Mod}$.

Example 4.5. Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers and $M = 4\mathbb{Z}$. Define $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \leq_{IF} \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\nu : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B(n) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{10}, & \text{if } n \neq 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \\ \nu_B(n) &= \begin{cases} \frac{4}{10}, & \text{if } n \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$C = (\mu_C, \nu_C) \leq_{IF} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_C(\bar{k}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \bar{k} \neq \bar{0}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \bar{k} = \bar{0}. \end{cases} \\ \nu_C(\bar{k}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \bar{k} \neq \bar{0}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \bar{k} = \bar{0}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then both B and C are IF \mathbb{Z} -modules. Also, we have a short exact sequence

$$\bar{0} \rightarrow 0_M \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \rightarrow \bar{0}$$

where \tilde{f} is the inclusion homomorphism and \tilde{g} is the natural epimorphism. Let $F = \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(B, -)$. We claim that the sequence

$$\bar{0} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(B, 0_M) \xrightarrow{F\tilde{f}} \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(B, B) \xrightarrow{F\tilde{g}} \text{Hom}_{IF-R}(B, C)$$

is not exact. Define $\tilde{h}_1 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by putting $\tilde{h}_1(n) = 6n$ and define $\tilde{h}_2 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by putting $\tilde{h}_2(n) = 12n$. We can check that both \tilde{h}_1 and \tilde{h}_2 are in $\ker f\tilde{g}$. Hence $|\ker f\tilde{g}| \geq 2$. Since

$Hom(B, 0_M)$ contains only zero morphism, we have $ImF\tilde{f} \neq \ker f\tilde{g}$. So $Hom_{IF-R}(B, -)$ is not exact.

Theorem 4.6. Let R be a commutative ring and let $\bar{0} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C$ be an exact sequence in $IFR\text{-Mod}$, where \tilde{f} is IF split homomorphism. Then $Hom_{IF-R}(D, -)$ preserves the sequence, for every $D \in IFR - \text{Mod}$.

Proof. Let $F = Hom_{IF-R}(D, -)$ such that $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$, $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \leq_{IF} N$, $C = (\mu_C, \nu_C) \leq_{IF} K$ and $D = (\mu_D, \nu_D) \leq_{IF} H$. We will show that the sequence

$$\bar{0} \longrightarrow Hom_{IF-R}(D, A) \xrightarrow{F\tilde{f}} Hom_{IF-R}(D, B) \xrightarrow{F\tilde{g}} Hom_{IF-R}(D, C)$$

is exact. Put $F\tilde{f} = f_*$ and $F\tilde{g} = g_*$. $Hom_{IF-R}(D, -)$ is left exact, so it is clear that f_* is monic.

Let $Hom_{IF-R}(D, A) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ that $\alpha_1 : Hom_{IF-R}(D, A) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$, $\alpha_2 : Hom_{IF-R}(D, A) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ are membership and non-membership functions, respectively, such that $\alpha_1(\tilde{\psi}) = \bigwedge \{\mu_A(\psi(x)) \mid x \in H\}$, $\alpha_2(\tilde{\psi}) = \bigvee \{\nu_A(\psi(x)) \mid x \in H\}$. Also let $Hom_{IF-R}(D, C) = (\delta_1, \delta_2)$.

Now is $\tilde{\lambda} \in Imf_*$ then $\delta_2(\tilde{g}o\tilde{f}o\tilde{\psi}) = \bigvee \{\nu_C((\tilde{g}o\tilde{f}o\tilde{\psi})(x)) \mid x \in H\} = \bigvee \{\nu_C((\tilde{g}o\tilde{f}(\tilde{\psi}))(x)) \mid x \in H\} = \bigvee \{0\} = 0$. So $ImF\tilde{f} \subseteq \ker F\tilde{g}$.

Now we will show that $ImF\tilde{f} \supseteq \ker F\tilde{g}$.

Assume $\tilde{\lambda} \in \ker g_*$. Hence we have

(1) $1 = \delta_1(g_*(\tilde{\lambda})) = \bigwedge \{\mu_C(go\lambda(x)) \mid x \in H\}$, hence $\mu_C(go\lambda(x)) = 1$, for every $x \in H$.

(2) $0 = \delta_2(g_*(\tilde{\lambda})) = \bigvee \{\nu_C(go\lambda(x)) \mid x \in H\}$, hence $\nu_C(go\lambda(x)) = 0$, for every $x \in H$.

From (1), (2) we conclude that $Im\tilde{\lambda} \in \ker \tilde{g} = Im\tilde{f}$.

Now define $\tilde{\rho} : D \longrightarrow A$ by $\tilde{\rho}(x) = m$ for every $x \in H$, where $\tilde{\lambda}(x) = \tilde{f}(m)$.

It is not difficult to see that $\tilde{f}o\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\lambda}$ (i.e., $\tilde{\lambda} \in Imf_*$), which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.7. Let R be a commutative ring and $A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \longrightarrow \bar{0}$ be an exact sequence of IF R -modules, where \tilde{f} is IF split.

Let $G = Hom_{IF-R}(-, D)$, then the following sequence is exact

$$\bar{0} \longrightarrow Hom_{IF-R}(C, D) \xrightarrow{G\tilde{g}} Hom_{IF-R}(B, D) \xrightarrow{G\tilde{f}} Hom_{IF-R}(A, D).$$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.6. \square

Let $E(R)$ be the set of all idempotent members of the ring R .

Now, we study the functor $Hom_{IF-R}(M, -)$, where $M = 0_{Re}^{IF}$ for $e \in E(R)$. First suppose that $A \leq_{IF-R} M$ and $e \in E(R)$. Then the IF R -module $eA \leq_{IF} eM$ is defined by $eA =$

$$(\mu_{eA}, \nu_{eA}) \text{ such that } \begin{cases} \mu_{eA}(em) = \mu_A(em) \\ \nu_{eA}(em) = \nu_A(em) \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 4.8. Let R be a commutative ring and $A \in IFR - \text{Mod}$. Then $\Gamma_A : Hom(0_{Re}^{IF}, A) \longrightarrow eA$ defined by $\tilde{f} \longmapsto \tilde{f}(e)$, is an IF R -module isomorphism.

Proof. Assume $em \in eM$ where $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$. Define a map $\tilde{f} : 0_{Re}^{IF} \longrightarrow eA$ by putting $\tilde{f}(re) = rem$. We can easily check that $\tilde{f} \in Hom_{IF-R}(0_{Re}^{IF}, A)$ and $\Gamma_A(\tilde{f}) = em$. It follows that Γ_A is a surjective map. If $\tilde{f} \in Hom_{IF-R}(0_{Re}^{IF}, A)$, we can see that \tilde{f} is determined by $\tilde{f}(e)$. This shows that Γ_A is an injective map. Let $\tilde{f} \in Hom_{IF-R}(0_{Re}^{IF}, A)$. We have $\alpha_1(\tilde{f}) = \mu_A(\tilde{f}(e))$, $\alpha_2(\tilde{f}) = \nu_A(\tilde{f}(e))$ where $Hom_{IF-R}(0_{Re}^{IF}, A) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. This shows Γ_A is an intuitionistic fuzzy isomorphism. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let R be a ring and the following diagram of IF R -modules is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \bar{0} & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & B & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & C & \longrightarrow & \bar{0} \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta} & & \downarrow \tilde{\gamma} & & \\ \bar{0} & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & F & \longrightarrow & \bar{0} \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\gamma}$ are IF isomorphisms and $\tilde{\beta}$ is an IF quasi-isomorphism. Then the bottom row is a short exact sequence if and only if so is the top row.

Proof. Let $A \leq_{IF} M$, $B \leq_{IF} N$, $C \leq_{IF} K$, $D \leq_{IF} H$, $E \leq_{IF} X$ and $F \leq_{IF} Y$.

Suppose that the bottom row is exact. First we prove that \tilde{f} is monomorphism. Assume $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ ($m_1, m_2 \in M$). We have $\tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}(m_1) = \tilde{\beta}\tilde{f}(m_1) = \tilde{\beta}\tilde{f}(m_2) = \tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}(m_2)$. So $m_1 = m_2$, as $\tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}$ is monomorphism. So \tilde{f} is monic.

Let $k \in K$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(k) = y$. Since \tilde{p} is epimorphism, so there exists $x \in X$ such that $\tilde{p}(x) = y$. Suppose that $x = \tilde{\beta}(n)$ for some $n \in N$. Now $y = \tilde{p}(x) = \tilde{p}\tilde{\beta}(n) = \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{g}(n)$ i.e., $\tilde{\gamma}(x) = \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{g}(x)$ and hence $x = \tilde{g}(x)$ as $\tilde{\gamma}$ is monic. This implies \tilde{g} is an epimorphism.

Now let $m \in M$. We will show that $\tilde{f}(m) \in \ker \tilde{g}$. For this first we have $\tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}(m) \in Im \tilde{h} = \ker \tilde{p}$. Therefore $\begin{cases} \mu_F(\tilde{p}(\tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}(m))) = 1; \\ \nu_F(\tilde{p}(\tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}(m))) = 0; \end{cases}$ and so

$$\begin{cases} \mu_F(\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{g}\tilde{f}(m))) = 1; \\ \nu_F(\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{g}\tilde{f}(m))) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{Then since } \tilde{\gamma} \text{ is isomorphism, hence} \\ \begin{cases} \mu_C(\tilde{g}(\tilde{f}(m))) = \mu_F(\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{g}\tilde{f}(m))) = 1; \\ \nu_C(\tilde{g}(\tilde{f}(m))) = \nu_F(\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{g}\tilde{f}(m))) = 0; \end{cases} \quad \text{and this implies } \tilde{f}(m) \in \ker \tilde{g}. \text{ So } Im \tilde{f} \subseteq \ker \tilde{g}.$$

Now suppose that $n \in \ker \tilde{g}$, so $\begin{cases} \mu_C(\tilde{g}(n)) = 1; \\ \nu_C(\tilde{g}(n)) = 0; \end{cases}$ and hence

$\begin{cases} \mu_F(\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{g}(n)) = 1; \\ \nu_F(\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{g}(n)) = 0 \end{cases}$. Now by commutativity we can obtain $\begin{cases} \mu_F(\tilde{p}\tilde{\beta}(n)) = 1; \\ \nu_F(\tilde{p}\tilde{\beta}(n)) = 0 \end{cases}$. This implies $\tilde{\beta}(n) \in \ker \tilde{p} = Im \tilde{h}$ and so there exists $t \in H$ such that $\tilde{h}(t) = \tilde{\beta}(n)$. Also there exists $m \in M$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}(m) = t$.

Now $\tilde{\beta}\tilde{f}(m) = \tilde{h}\tilde{\alpha}(m) = \tilde{h}(t) = \tilde{\beta}(n)$ and hence $n = \tilde{f}(m)$, as $\tilde{\beta}$ is isomorphism. Thus $\ker \tilde{g} \subseteq Im \tilde{f}$.

Similarly the converse can be shown. \square

Definition 4.10. Let M, N be two R -modules and $A \leq_{IF} M$, $B \leq_{IF} N$. If $\tilde{f} : A \longrightarrow B$ is an IF homomorphism and $e \in E(R)$, we define $\tilde{e}f : eA \longrightarrow eB$ by $\tilde{e}f(em) = \tilde{f}(em) = e\tilde{f}(m)$,

for every $m \in M$.

Proposition 4.11. *Let R be a commutative ring and $\bar{0} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \rightarrow \bar{0}$ be a short exact sequence of IF R -module. Let $e \in E(R)$, $\tilde{e}f = \tilde{f}|_{eA}$ and $\tilde{e}g = \tilde{g}|_{eB}$. Then the sequence $\bar{0} \rightarrow eA \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}f} eB \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}g} eC \rightarrow \bar{0}$ is exact.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \leq_{IF} M$, $B \leq_{IF} N$, $C \leq_{IF} K$. Let $ek \in eK$. Since \tilde{g} is an epimorphism, there exists $n \in N$ satisfying $\tilde{g}(n) = ek$. We have $en \in eN$ and $\tilde{e}g(en) = \tilde{e}g(n) = ek$, since e is an idempotent.

This proves that $\tilde{e}g$ is an epimorphism. Since $Im \tilde{f} \subseteq \ker \tilde{g}$, it is clear that $Im(\tilde{e}f) \subseteq \ker(\tilde{e}g)$. Suppose that $en \in \ker(\tilde{e}g)$. We have an element $m \in M$ satisfying $\tilde{f}(m) = en$, because $\ker(\tilde{e}g) \subseteq \ker \tilde{g}$. Hence $em \in eM$ and $\tilde{e}f(em) = \tilde{f}(em) = e\tilde{f}(m) = en$, i.e., $\ker(\tilde{e}g) \subseteq Im(\tilde{e}f)$, as desired. \square

Proposition 4.12. *Let R be a commutative ring and Let $e \in E(R)$. The functor $Hom(0_{Re}^{IF}, -)$ preserves the sequence $\bar{0} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \rightarrow \bar{0}$ of IF R -modules.*

Proof. The sequence $\bar{0} \rightarrow eA \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}f} eB \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}g} eC \rightarrow \bar{0}$ is a short exact sequence by Proposition 4.11. Consider the following commutative diagram of IF R -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{0} & \longrightarrow & Hom(0_{Re}^{IF}, A) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Hom(0_{Re}^{IF}, B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & Hom(0_{Re}^{IF}, C) \longrightarrow \bar{0} \\ & & \downarrow \eta_A & & \downarrow \eta_B & & \downarrow \eta_C \\ \bar{0} & \longrightarrow & eA & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & eB & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & eC \longrightarrow \bar{0} \end{array}.$$

Where η_A , η_B , η_C are IF isomorphisms by Lemma 4.8. Now by Proposition 4.9, the top row is exact. \square

§5 Product and coproduct in IFR-Mod

In this section we will introduce the operations product and coproduct in category IFR-Mod and present some equivalence for them. We investigate the functor Hom for these operations in IF case and crisp case and present some isomorphisms between them.

Definition 5.1. Let $\{A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of IF R -modules. Then we define $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i = (\mu, \nu)$ is the *coproduct* of $\{A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \mid i \in I\}$ such that the maps $(\mu, \nu) : \coprod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are defined by putting

$$\mu((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \wedge \{\mu_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\} \text{ and } \nu((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \vee \{\nu_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}.$$

Similarly, the *product* of $\{A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \mid i \in I\}$ denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} A_i = (\mu, \nu)$, where $(\mu, \nu) : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are defined by

$$\mu((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \wedge \{\mu_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}, \nu((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \vee \{\nu_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}.$$

If $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a family of IF R -modules such that $A_i \leq_{IF} M_i$ for every $i \in I$, then it is not difficult to see that $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ and $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$ are IF submodules of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ and $\coprod_{i \in I} M_i$, respectively.

Proposition 5.2. *Let M be an R -module, $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq_{IF} M$ and $e_i \in E(R)$ for any $i \in I$. Then*

$$Hom(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Hom(0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A).$$

Proof. Let

$$\kappa : Hom(\prod_{i \in I} Re_i, M) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} Hom(Re_i, M)$$

given by

$$f \longmapsto (f\lambda_i = f_i)_{i \in I}$$

be the isomorphism, where λ_j is the injection $Re_j \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} Re_i$, for every $j \in I$.

By the proof of Lemma 4.8, for every $i \in I$, when $Hom(0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$, $Hom(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) = (\alpha, \beta)$, we have $\alpha_i(f_i) = \mu_A(f_i(e_i))$, $\beta_i(f_i) = \nu_A(f_i(e_i))$. Note that $\mu_A(\sum_{i \in I} f_i(r_i e_i)) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mu_A(f_i(r_i e_i))$, $\nu_A(\sum_{i \in I} f_i(r_i e_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \nu_A(f_i(r_i e_i))$ and $\mu_A(f_i(r_i e_i)) = \mu_A(r_i f_i(e_i)) \geq \mu_A(f_i(e_i))$, $\nu_A(f_i(r_i e_i)) = \nu_A(r_i f_i(e_i)) \leq \nu_A(f_i(e_i))$, where $r_i \in R$ forevery $i \in I$. For every $f \in Hom(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f) &= \bigwedge \{ \mu_A(f((r_i e_i)_{i \in I})) \mid (r_i e_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} Re_i \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ \mu_A(\sum_{i \in I} f_i(r_i e_i)) \mid r_i e_i \in Re_i \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ \mu_A(f_i(e_i))_{i \in I} \mid i \in I \} = \bigwedge \{ \alpha_i(f_i) \mid i \in I \} \\ &= (\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i)((f_i)_{i \in I}) = (\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i)_{(x(f))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(f) &= \bigvee \{ \mu_B(f((r_i e_i)_{i \in I})) \mid (r_i e_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} Re_i \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ \mu_B(\sum_{i \in I} f_i(r_i e_i)) \mid r_i e_i \in Re_i \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ \mu_B(f_i(e_i))_{i \in I} \mid i \in I \} = \bigvee \{ \beta_i(f_i) \mid i \in I \} \\ &= (\prod_{i \in I} \beta_i)((f_i)_{i \in I}) = (\prod_{i \in I} \beta_i)_{(x(f))}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, note that $\{f_i(e_i) \mid e_i \in Re_i\} \subseteq \{\sum_{i \in I} f_i(r_i e_i) \mid r_i e_i \in Re_i\}$. So κ is an IF isomorphism, that is

$$Hom(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Hom(0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A).$$

□

Proposition 5.3. *If $e_i A = (\mu_{e_i A}, \nu_{e_i A}) \leq_{IF} e_i M$, where $e_i \in E(R)$ for $i \in I$, then*

$$Hom(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) \cong \prod_{i \in I} e_i A.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 5.2. \square

Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R . Then we say *idempotents lift modulo I* if for every idempotent $e + I$ in R/I , there exists an idempotent e' of R such that $e + I = e' + I$.

A ring R is called *semiperfect* if $R/J(R)$ is semisimple and idempotents lift modulo $J(R)$, where $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R .

Proposition 5.4. *Let R be a semiperfect ring and P a projective R -module. Then $P \cong \prod_{i \in I} Re_i$, for some $e_i \in E(R)$.*

Proof. See [1, Theorem 27.11]. \square

By Proposition 5.4, for any semiperfect ring R and projective IF R -module P , we have $P \cong \prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}$, for some $e_i \in E(R)$ (Since every projective IF R -module is zero IF R -module).

Proposition 5.5. *Let R be a commutative semiperfect ring. Then $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ preserves the short exact sequence $\bar{0} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \rightarrow \bar{0}$ of IF R -modules if and only if P is an IF projective R -module.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ reserves that exact sequence. Let $B \leq_{IF} M$ and $C \leq_{IF} N$ and $\tilde{g} : B \rightarrow C$ be an IF epimorphism. Let

$$K = \ker \tilde{g} = \left\{ x \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \mu_c(g(x)) = 1; \\ \nu_c(g(x)) = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

and $B' = (\mu_B, \nu_B)|_K$. Then B' is an IF submodule of B . So we obtain the short exact sequence $\bar{0} \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \rightarrow \bar{0}$ where \tilde{i} is the inclusion map. Since $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ preserves the sequence, $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ preserves the epimorphism \tilde{g} . By this we can conclude that P is an IF projective R -module.

Conversely since P is an IF projective R -module, we have $P \cong \prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}$ where $e_i \in E(R)$.

Let $\bar{0} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} C \rightarrow \bar{0}$ be a short exact sequence of IF R -modules. Then the sequence

$$\bar{0} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} e_i A \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} e_i B \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} e_i C \rightarrow \bar{0}$$

is also a short exact sequences by Proposition 4.11. Using Proposition 5.3 we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{0} & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, A) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\prod_{i \in I} 0_{Re_i}^{IF}, C) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{0} & \rightarrow & \prod_{i \in I} e_i A & \rightarrow & \prod_{i \in I} e_i B & \rightarrow & \prod_{i \in I} e_i C \\ \rightarrow & \bar{0} & & & & & \\ \rightarrow & \bar{0} & & & & & \end{array}$$

Now since the bottom row is a short exact sequence, so the top row is also a short exact sequence, by Proposition 4.9. This completes the proof. \square

Example 5.6. Let M be a \mathbb{Z}_n -module for some natural number $n > 1$. Let $P = \bar{0}$ be an IF submodule of M . Then $\text{Hom}(\bar{0}, -)$ preserves the short exact sequence $\bar{0} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} B \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} C \longrightarrow \bar{0}$ of IF \mathbb{Z}_n -modules, by Proposition 5.5.

§6 Conclusion

The conclusion of this paper is that under the concepts of homomorphisms, exact sequences, Hom functors, product and coproduct in modules theory, we use these concepts on the intuitionistic fuzzy case of modules theory and get some interesting results about them. Reader can use this paper to get some other results for intuitionistic fuzzy modules such as tensor product.

Also we would like to notice several open questions on the topic of this paper as below

- (1) Are the functors $\text{Hom}(D, -)$ and $\text{Hom}(-, D)$ right exact?
- (2) Are the Theorems 4.4, 4.6, 4.7, Lemma 4.8, Proposition 4.11 and Proposition 4.12 true, when R is not commutative?
- (3) Is Theorem 5.5 true, when R is not semiperfect?

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] F W Anderson, K R Fuller. *Rings and Categories of Modules (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 13)*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [2] K T Atanassov. *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 1986, 20: 87-96.
- [3] K T Atanassov. *On intuitionistic fuzzy version of L. Zadeh's extension principle*, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, 2006, 13(3): 33-36.
- [4] M A Abd-Allah, K El-Saady, A Ghareeb. *Rough intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 2009, 42(4): 2145-2153.
- [5] R Biswas. *Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups*, Mathematical Forum, 1989, 10: 37-46.
- [6] J F Botia, A M Cardenas, C M Sierra. *Fuzzy cellular automata and intuitionistic fuzzy sets applied to an optical frequency comb spectral shape*, J Eng Appl Artif Intell, 2017, 62: 181-194.
- [7] Y Chen. *Projective S-acts and exact functors*, Algebra Colloquium, 2000, 7(1): 113-120.
- [8] S M Chen, Z C Huang. *Multiattribute decision making based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy values and linear programming methodology*, Inf Sci, 2017, 381: 341-351.

- [9] D Coker. *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 1997, 88: 81-89.
- [10] B Davvaz, W A Dudek, Y B Jun. *Intuitionistic fuzzy hv-submodules*, Inf Sci, 2006, 176: 285-300.
- [11] M Hassaballah, A Ghareeb. *A framework for objective image quality measures based on Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Appl Soft Comput, 2017, 57: 48-59.
- [12] P Isaac. *On projective L-modules*, Iran J Fuzzy Syst, 2005, 2(1): 19-28.
- [13] P Isaac, P P John. *On intuitionistic fuzzy submodules of a module*, Int J Math Sci Appl, 2011, 1(3): 1447-1454.
- [14] H Liu. *Hom functors and tensor product functors in fuzzy S-act category*, Neural Comput Appl, 2012, 21: 275-279.
- [15] J Lin, Q Zhang. *Note on aggregation crisp values into intuitionistic fuzzy number*, Appl Math Model, 2016, 40(23-24): 10800-10828.
- [16] J N Mordeson, D S Malik. *Fuzzy Commutative Algebra*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
- [17] T Muthuraji, S Sriram, P Murugadas. *Decomposition Of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices*, J Fuzzy Inf Eng, 2016, 8(3): 345-354.
- [18] C V Negoită, D A Ralescue. *Applications of Fuzzy Sets and Systems Analysis*, Basel: Birkhäuser, 1975.
- [19] F Pan. *Hom-functors in the fuzzy category FM*, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 1999, 103: 525-528.
- [20] F Pan. *Fuzzy finitely generated modules*, Fuzzy Sets Syst, 1987, 21: 105-113.
- [21] F Pan. *The two functors in the fuzzy modular category*, Acta Math Sci, 2001, 21B(4): 526-530.
- [22] S Rahman, H K Saikia. *Some aspects of atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy submodule*, Int J Pure Appl Math, 2012, 77(3): 369-383.
- [23] A Rosenfeld. *Fuzzy groups*, J Math Anal Appl, 1971, 35: 512-517.
- [24] B Talaei. *Intuitionistic fuzzy small submodules and their properties*, Fuzzy Inf Eng, 2019, 11(3): 307-319.
- [25] B Talaei, G Nasiri. *On intersection graph of intuitionistic fuzzy submodules of a module*, Lebanese Science Journal, 2019, 20(1): 104-122.
- [26] B Talaei. *G- δ -M Modules and Torsion Theory Cogenerated by Such Modules*, Iran J Sci Technol Trans A Sci, 2018, 42: 141-146.

- [27] B Talaei. *A Generalization of M-Small Modules*, J Sci Islam Repub Iran, 2015, 26(2): 179-185.
- [28] L A Zadeh. *Fuzzy Sets*, Inf Control, 1965, 8(3): 338-353.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, Shariati Ave., Babol 7148-71167, Iran.

Email: behnamtalaei@nit.ac.ir