

Maximal operators of pseudo-differential operators with rough symbols

Ramla Benhamoud ZHU Xiang-rong*

Abstract. Consider a pseudo-differential operator

$$T_a f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

where the symbol a is in the rough Hörmander class $L^\infty S_\rho^m$ with $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$. In this note, when $1 \leq p \leq 2$, if $m < \frac{n(\rho-1)}{p}$ and $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$, then for any $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we prove that

$$M(T_a f)(x) \leq C (M(|f|^p)(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Our theorem improves the known results and the bound on m is sharp, in the sense that $\frac{n(\rho-1)}{p}$ can not be replaced by a larger constant.

§1 Introduction

Pseudo-differential operators are extensively used in the theory of partial differential equations and quantum field theory. A systematic study of these operators was initiated by Kohn and Nirenberg [12] and Hörmander [5]. For a f in the Schwartz class $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the pseudo-differential operator T_a is defined by

$$T_a f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

where \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f and the symbol a belongs to a certain symbol class. One of the most important symbol classes is the Hörmander class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ introduced in Hörmander [6]. A function $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$), if it satisfies

$$\sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|)^{-m + \rho|\alpha| - \delta|\beta|} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| < +\infty$$

for all multi-indices α and β .

For pseudo-differential operators, an important problem is whether they are bounded on the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This problem has been extensively studied and there are numerous

Received: 2022-03-08. Revised: 2022-04-22.

MR Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B37.

Keywords: pseudo-differential operator, rough Hörmander class, H-L maximal operator.

Digital Object Identifier(DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11766-024-4699-y>.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(11871436, 12071437).

*Corresponding author.

results. In particular, if $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ with $\delta < 1$, $m \leq \min\{0, \frac{n(\rho-\delta)}{2}\}$, then T_a is bounded on L^2 . One can see Hörmander [7], Hounie [8], etc. For $a \in S_{\rho,1}^m$ Rodino [14] proved that T_a is bounded on L^2 if $m < \frac{n(\rho-1)}{2}$ and constructed a symbol $a \in S_{\rho,1}^{\frac{n(\rho-1)}{2}}$ such that T_a is unbounded on L^2 . (For the failure of L^2 boundedness for symbols in $S_{1,1}^0$, one can also see Ching [3] and Stein [15, P. 272].) For endpoint estimates, in some unpublished lecture notes, Stein showed that if $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{\frac{n(\rho-1)}{2}}$ and either $0 \leq \delta < \rho = 1$ or $0 < \delta = \rho < 1$, then T_a is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and bounded from H^1 to L^1 . These results were extended in Álvarez and Hounie [1] to $0 < \rho \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1$. The L^p boundedness follows from an interpolation argument.

In [10], Kenig and Staubach defined and studied the following class of rough symbols which behave in the spatial variable x like an L^∞ function. A function a , which is smooth in the frequency variable ξ and bounded measurable in the spatial variable x , belongs to the rough Hörmander class $L^\infty S_\rho^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$), if it satisfies

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|)^{-m+\rho|\alpha|} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha a(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty$$

for all multi-indices α . It is easy to see that $S_{\rho,1}^m \subset L^\infty S_\rho^m$.

When $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$, Kenig and Staubach showed that the operator T_a is bounded on L^p if $m < \frac{n(\rho-1)}{p}$ ([10] Proposition 2.3).

It is interesting to further investigate the pointwise estimates for the pseudo-differential operators. Let us recall some notations. For a locally integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^n , the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and sharp maximal function of f are defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy,$$

$$f^\#(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y) - f_B| dy$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B containing x and $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx$ is the mean value of f on the ball B . It is obviously that $f^\#(x) \leq 2Mf(x)$. Besides, it is well-known that $f^\# \in L^\infty$ characterizes the BMO space, i.e.

$$BMO = \{f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : f^\# \in L^\infty\}.$$

We define the general Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator of f as

$$M_p f(x) = (M(|f|^p)(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sup_{x \in B} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

When $p = 1$, it is the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. As M is bounded on $L^q (1 < q \leq \infty)$, it is easy to see that M_p is bounded on $L^q (q \geq p \text{ and } q > 1)$.

The estimates for the sharp maximal functions of the pseudo-differential operators have been studied extensively.

1. Miller [13] showed that for $a \in S_{1,0}^0$ and $1 < p < \infty$, there holds

$$(T_a f)^\#(x) \leq CM_p f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1}$$

It was extended for $a \in S_{1,\delta}^0 (0 \leq \delta < 1)$ by Journé [9].

2. For $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{\frac{n(\rho-1)}{2}} (0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1)$, Chanillo and Torchinsky [2] proved that

$$(T_a f)^\#(x) \leq CM_2 f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2}$$

and asked whether $p = 2$ was the smallest value such that $(T_a f)^\#(x) \leq CM_p f(x)$.

In the same paper, they obtained the inequality (1) for $a(x, \xi) = \sigma(x, \xi)e^{i|\xi|^b}$, $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^{-\frac{nb}{2}}$, $0 < b < 1$ and this result was improved in [17].

3. For $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ ($0 < \rho \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1$), Álvarez and Hounie ([1] Theorem 4.1) proved the inequality (1) if $m \leq n(\rho - 1) - \mu$ where

$$2\mu = 1 + n(\rho + \lambda) - \sqrt{\{1 + n(\rho + \lambda)\}^2 - 4n\lambda}$$

and $\lambda = \max(0, \frac{\delta - \rho}{2})$. Here, it is easy to check that $\mu \geq 0$ and $\mu = 0$ only if $\delta \leq \rho$.

4. Kim and Shin [11] generalized the results in [2] to $0 < \rho \leq \delta \leq 1$.

For $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{\frac{n(2\rho - \delta - 1)}{2}}$ ($0 < \rho \leq \delta < 1$) or $a \in S_{\rho,1}^m$ ($0 < \rho < 1, m < (\frac{n}{2} + 1)(\rho - 1)$), they proved the inequality (2).

5. Recently, for $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m, m < n(\rho - 1)$, in [16] Wang and Chen used the following inequality to get the weighted inequalities for rough pseudo-differential operators,

$$|T_a f(x)| \leq CM f(x).$$

In this note, we consider the pseudo-differential operator T_a for $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n), a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$ and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *When $1 \leq p \leq 2$, if $m < \frac{n(\rho - 1)}{p}$ and $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$, then for any $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there holds*

$$M(T_a f)(x) \leq C(M(|f|^p)(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3)$$

As a direct corollary, take $p = 2$, then we have

Corollary 1.1. *When $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$ with $m < \frac{n(\rho - 1)}{2}$, we have*

$$M(T_a f)(x) \leq C(M(|f|^2)(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\|f\|_\infty. \quad (4)$$

Remark 1. As $f^\#(x) \leq 2Mf(x)$ and $S_{\rho,1}^m \subset L^\infty S_\rho^m$, our theorem is a strict improvement of the corresponding results. For example, as a direct corollary, we generate the results of Miller [13] and Journé [9] to $a \in S_{1,1}^0$. For the theorem of Álvarez and Hounie, we remove the restriction on δ and improve the bound of m from $n(\rho - 1) - \mu$ to $n(\rho - 1)$ (note that $\mu > 0$ when $\rho < \delta$). For the theorem of Kim and Shin, we improve the bound of m from $(\frac{n}{2} + 1)(\rho - 1)$ to $\frac{n(\rho - 1)}{2}$.

Remark 2. Furthermore, the upper bound on m is sharp in our theorem, because in general T_a is not bounded on L^p for some $m > \frac{n(\rho - 1)}{p}$ and $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$. One can see [14] for $p = 2$ and [4] for $1 \leq p < 2$.

Remark 3. By the similar computations, we can get some corresponding results for the Fourier integral operators. But the results that we can get now are not satisfactory.

Our result can be used in some weighted norm inequalities, but here we omit the details. Throughout this note, without furthermore illustrations, the letter C is used to denote a positive constant that may depend on n, m, ρ, p and the quasi-norms of $a \in L^\infty S_\rho^m$. Furthermore, it may vary in different occurrences.

§2 Proof of the main result

Here we use $B)_r$ to denote the ball centered at origin with radius r . At first, we recall the Littlewood-Paley theory. Take $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_2)$ such that $\eta = 1$ in B_1 . Then for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there holds

$$\eta(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\eta(2^{-j}\xi) - \eta(2^{1-j}\xi)] = 1.$$

Set $a_0(x, \xi) = \eta(\xi)a(x, \xi)$ and $a_j(x, \xi) = [\eta(2^{-j}\xi) - \eta(2^{1-j}\xi)]a(x, \xi)$ ($j > 0$). It is easy to see that $a_j(x, \cdot)$ is supported in $\{\xi : |\xi| < 2^{1+j}\}$ and

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha a_j(x, \xi)| \leq C2^{j(m-\rho|\alpha|)}$$

for all multi-indices α .

Now, by the standard arguments, we can decompose T_a as

$$\begin{aligned} T_a f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a_j(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} a_j(x, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x, x-y) f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

where $k_j(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iz \cdot \xi} a_j(x, \xi) d\xi$.

Set $\sigma_j(z) = 2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} (1 + 2^{j\rho}|z|)^{n+1}$. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we have $p' = \frac{p}{p-1} \geq 2$. Now, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by some simple computations and Hausdorff-Young inequality, we can yield that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |k_j(x, x-y) \sigma_j(x-y)|^{p'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= 2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |k_j(x, z) (1 + 2^{j\rho}|z|)^{n+1}|^{p'} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^{j\rho|\alpha}| z^\alpha k_j(x, z)|^{p'} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= C2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^{j\rho|\alpha}| z^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iz \cdot \xi} a_j(x, \xi) d\xi|^{p'} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= C2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iz \cdot \xi} (2^{j\rho|\alpha}| \partial_\xi^\alpha a_j(x, \xi)) d\xi \right|^{p'} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^{j\rho|\alpha}| \partial_\xi^\alpha a_j(x, \xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C2^{-\frac{jn\rho}{p}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \left(\int_{|\xi| < 2^{1+j}} 2^{jm\rho} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C2^{j(m-\frac{n(\rho-1)}{p})}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here the assumption $1 \leq p \leq 2$ is necessary to use Hausdorff-Young inequality.

So, from (5) we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_a f(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x, x-y) f(y) dy \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| k_j(x, x-y) \sigma_j(x-y) \frac{f(y)}{\sigma_j(x-y)} \right| dy \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |k_j(x, x-y) \sigma_j(x-y)|^{p'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\sigma_j^p(x-y)} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(m - \frac{n(\rho-1)}{p})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\sigma_j^p(x-y)} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality we use the Hölder inequality.

When $m < \frac{n(\rho-1)}{p}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B(x,r)} |T_a f(z)| dz &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(m - \frac{n(\rho-1)}{p})} \int_{B(x,r)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\sigma_j^p(z-y)} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dz \\
 &\leq C \sup_j \int_{B(x,r)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\sigma_j^p(z-y)} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dz \\
 &\leq C r^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})} \sup_j \left(\int_{B(x,r)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\sigma_j^p(z-y)} dy dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= C r^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})} \sup_j \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{2^{jn\rho}}{(1+2^{j\rho}|z-y|)^{(n+1)p}} dz |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

When $|y-x| < 2r$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{2^{jn\rho}}{(1+2^{j\rho}|z-y|)^{(n+1)p}} dz &\leq C \int_{B(y,3r)} \frac{2^{jn\rho}}{(1+2^{j\rho}|z-y|)^{(n+1)p}} dz \\
 &\leq C \int_{|w| < 3 \cdot 2^{j\rho} r} \frac{1}{(1+|w|)^{(n+1)p}} dw \\
 &\leq C \min\{1, 2^{jn\rho} r^n\} \leq C. \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when $|y-x| \geq 2r$, one can easily get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{2^{jn\rho}}{(1+2^{j\rho}|z-y|)^{(n+1)p}} dz &\leq C \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{2^{jn\rho}}{(1+2^{j\rho}|x-y|)^{(n+1)p}} dz \\
 &\leq \frac{C 2^{jn\rho} r^n}{(1+2^{j\rho}|x-y|)^{(n+1)p}}. \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $\Phi(w) = (1+|w|)^{-(n+1)p}$. When $p \geq 1$, using the well-known maximal function estimate for approximations of the identity, we have

$$\sup_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{jn\rho} (1+2^{j\rho}|y-x|)^{-(n+1)p} |f(y)|^p dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sup_j 2^{jn\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(2^{j\rho}(x-y))|f(y)|^p dy \\
 &\leq \|\Phi\|_1 M(|f|^p)(x) = CM(|f|^p)(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Therefore, if $m < \frac{n(\rho-1)}{p}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, from (7), (8), (9) and (10), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &r^{-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |T_a f(z)| dz \\
 &\leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{p}} \sup_j \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{2^{jn\rho}}{(1+2^{j\rho}|z-y|)^{(n+1)p}} dz |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq C \sup_j \left(r^{-n} \int_{|y-x|<2r} |f(y)|^p dy + r^{-n} \int_{|y-x|\geq 2r} \frac{2^{jn\rho} r^n}{(1+2^{j\rho}|x-y|)^{(n+1)p}} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq C \left(M(|f|^p)(x) + \sup_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{jn\rho} (1+2^{j\rho}|y-x|)^{-(n+1)p} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq C(M(|f|^p)(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove that

$$M(T_a f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |T_a f(z)| dz \leq C(M(|f|^p)(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] J Álvarez, J Hounie. *Estimates for the kernel and continuity properties of pseudo-differential operators*, Ark Mat, 1990, 28(1): 1-22.

[2] S Chanillo, A Torchinsky. *Sharp function and weighted L^p estimates for a class of pseudo-differential operators*, Ark Mat, 1986, 24(1): 1-25.

[3] C H Ching. *Pseudo-differential operators with nonregular symbols*, J Differential Equations, 1972, 11: 436-447.

[4] J Guo, X Zhu. *Some notes on endpoint estimates for pseudo-differential operators*, Mediterr J Math, 2022, 19(6): Paper No. 260, 14 pp.

[5] L Hörmander. *Pseudo-differential operators*, Comm Pure Appl Math, 1965, 18: 501-517.

[6] L Hörmander. *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations*, Acta Mathematica, 1967, 119: 147-171.

- [7] L Hörmander. *On the L^2 continuity of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm Pure Appl Math, 1971, 24: 529-535.
- [8] J Hounie. *On the L^2 -continuity of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm Partial Differential Equations, 1986, 11(7): 765-778.
- [9] J Journé. *Calderón-Zygmund operators, pseudo-differential operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón*, Springer Verlag, 1983, 994.
- [10] C Kenig, W Staubach. *Ψ -pseudodifferential operators and estimates for maximal oscillatory integrals*, Studia Math, 2007, 183(3): 249-258.
- [11] H Kim, S Shin. *Sharp function estimates for a class of pseudo-differential operators*, Pusan Kyongnam Math J, 1991, 7(2); 119-128.
- [12] J Kohn, L Nirenberg. *An algebra of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm Pure Appl Math, 1965, 18: 269-305.
- [13] N Miller. *Weighted sobolev spaces and pseudo-differential operators with smooth symbols*, Tran Amer Math Soc, 1982, 269(1): 91-109.
- [14] L Rodino. *On the boundedness of pseudo differential operators in the class $L_{\rho,1}^m$* , Proc Amer Math Soc, 1976, 58: 211-215.
- [15] E M Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [16] G Wang, W Chen. *Weighted estimates for rough pseudo-differential operators and their commutators*, J Pseudo-Differ Oper Appl, 2020, 11(3): 1249-1264.
- [17] K Yabuta. *Sharp function estimates for a class of pseudo-differential operators*, Ibarki Univ, 1989, 21: 1-7.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China.

Emails: benramla@zjnu.edu.cn, zxr@zjnu.cn