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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a three-step composite implicit iteration process for approximating the common fixed point of three uniformly continuous and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense. We prove that our proposed iteration process converges to the common fixed point of three finite family of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense. Our results extends, improves and complements several known results in literature.


## §1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $E$ be an arbitrary real Banach space with dual $E^{*}$. We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{f^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, f^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|f^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \forall x \in X \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the generalized duality pairing. Let j$ denote the single-valued-normalized duality mapping.

In the sequel, we give the following definitions which will be useful in this study.
Definition 1.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty subset of real Banach space $E$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is said to be:
(1) strongly pseudocontractive (Kim et al. [13]) if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists a constant $k \in(0,1)$ and $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq k\|x-y\|^{2} ; \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\phi$-strongly pseudocontractive (Kim et al. [13]) if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists a strictly increasing function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0)=0$ and $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\phi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\| \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In [23], it was proved that the class of strongly pseudocontractive mappings is a proper subclass of $\phi$-strongly pseudocontractive mappings. By taking $\Phi(t)=t \phi(t)$, where $\phi$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing function with $\phi(0)=0$. However, the converse is not true.
(3) generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive (Albert et al. [1] , Chidume and Chidume [3]) if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0)=0$ and $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\Phi(\|x-y\|) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings is also called uniformly pseudocontractive mappings (see [3]). Clearly, the class of generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings properly contains the class of $\phi$-pseudocontractive mappings;
(4) generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive if $F(T)=\{x \in K: T x=x\} \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0)=0$, such that for all $x \in K, p \in F(T)$, there exists $j(x-p) \in J(x-p)$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-p, j(x-p)\rangle \leq\|x-p\|^{2}-\Phi(\|x-p\|) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the class of generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings includes the class of generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings in which the fixed points set $F(T)$ is nonempty;
(5) asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive (Kim et al. [13]) with sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\} \subset$ $[1, \infty)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=1$, if for each $x, y \in K$, there exist a strictly increasing function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{n} x-T^{n} y, j(x-y)\right\rangle \leq h_{n}\|x-y\|^{2}-\Phi(\|x-y\|) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings is a generalization of the class of strongly pseudocontractive maps and the class of $\phi$-strongly peudocontractive maps. The class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mappings was introduced by Kim et al. [13] in 2009;
(6) asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive with sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=$ 1 if there exist a strictly increasing function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0)=0$, such that for each $x \in K, p \in F(T)$, there exists $j(x-p) \in J(x-p)$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{n}-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle \leq h_{n}\|x-p\|^{2}-\Phi(\|x-p\|) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, every asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-pseudocontractive mapping with a nonempty fixed point set is an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping.
(7) asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=1$ if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in K$ and $p \in F(T)$, there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0)=0$ and $j(x-p) \in J(x-p)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-h_{n}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi(\|x-p\|)\right) \leq 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\tau_{n}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-h_{n}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}
$$

It follows that $\tau_{n} \geq 0, \tau_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, (1.8) yields the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle \leq h_{n}\|x-p\|^{2}+\tau_{n}-\Phi(\|x-p\|) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This class of mapping was first introduced and studied by Okeke et al. [22]. Clearly, the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense is more general than the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings. Hence, the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense is the most general so far introduced in literature since it includes the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive maps.

For recent results on the approximation of fixed points of mappings which are asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings (see for example, $[2-4,9,25]$ and the references there in) and for recent results on the approximation of fixed points of mappings which are asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense, (see for example, Chidume et al. [7], Okeke et al. [22], Olaleru and Okeke [20], Kaczor et al. [10], Qin et al. [24], and the references contained therein). Also, there exist several other recent papers relating to this class of mappings (see for example, $[11,12,15-18,21,30,32]$ and the references therein).

Recently, Okeke and Olaleru [19] introduced the following three-step explicit iterative scheme with errors for the approximation of the unique common fixed point of a family of strongly pseudocontractive maps as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{1.10}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}-e_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T y_{n}+\beta_{n} T z_{n}+e_{n} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\
y_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}-e_{n}^{\prime}\right) x_{n}+a_{n} S z_{n}+b_{n} S x_{n}+e_{n}^{\prime} v_{n} \\
z_{n}=\left(1-c_{n}-e_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+c_{n} H x_{n}+e_{n}^{\prime \prime} w_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{e_{n}\right\},\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\},\left\{e_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{c_{n}\right\},\left\{e_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ are real sequences in $[0,1],\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences in $K$.

Motivated and inspired by Okeke and Olaleru [19] as well as the above results, we introduce a modified three-step composite implicit iteration process for finite family of 3 asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense defined as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{1.11}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\
y_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime}-b_{n}^{\prime}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}+b_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}, \\
z_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\},\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{b_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ are real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying $a_{n}+b_{n} \leq 1, a_{n}^{\prime}+b_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1$ and $n=(k-1) N+i, i=i(n) \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}, k=k(n) \geq 1$ is some positive integers and $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the sequel, we will need the following Lemmas.
Lemma 1.2. ([2]). Let $J: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be the normalized duality mapping. Then for any
$x, y \in E$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, j(x+y)\rangle, \quad \forall j(x+y) \in J(x+y) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.3. (see [2]). Let $\Phi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function with $\Phi(0)=0$ and let $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be nonnegative real sequences such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=\infty, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=0$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n+1}^{2} \leq \rho_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{n} \Phi\left(\rho_{n+1}\right)+\lambda_{n} \mu_{n}, n \geq 1 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n}=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$ hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $S_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\zeta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\zeta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $H_{i}: K \rightarrow$ $K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{t_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $t_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded, $T_{i}, S_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}, \zeta_{n}, t_{n}\right\}$, where $\eta_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}, \zeta_{n}=\max \left\{\zeta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $t_{n}=\max \left\{t_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(H_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\},\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{b_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be sequences in $[0,1]$ such that $a_{n}+b_{n} \leq 1$ and $a_{n}^{\prime}+b_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1$, for each $n \geq 1$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in (1.11). Put
$\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-\eta_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$,
$\ell_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle S_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-\zeta_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$ and
$\vartheta_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle H_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-t_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}, \ell_{n}, \vartheta_{n}\right\}$, where $\varpi_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}, \ell_{n}=\max \left\{\ell_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $\vartheta_{n}=$ $\max \left\{\vartheta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)=0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{\prime}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{\prime}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{\prime \prime}$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)=\infty$;

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.11) converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.

Proof. Fixing $p \in \mathbf{F}$, since $T_{i}$ has a bounded range, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\left\|x_{0}-p\right\|+\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p\right\|+\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $M_{1}<\infty$. It is clear that $\left\|x_{0}-p\right\| \leq M_{1}$. Let $\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\| \leq M_{1}$. Next we prove that $\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq M_{1}$.
Using (1.11) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|= & \left\|\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \|\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+a_{n}\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p\right) \\
& +b_{n}\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right) \| \\
\leq & \left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+a_{n}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +b_{n}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right) M_{1}+a_{n} M_{1}+b_{n} M_{1} \\
= & M_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, from the the demonstration above, it follows that the sequence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. Since $H_{i}$ is uniformly continuous, it follows also that $\left\{\left\|H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is also bounded. Setting

$$
M_{2}=\max \left\{M_{1}, \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}\right\}
$$

thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-a^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+a^{\prime \prime} H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(1-a^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+a^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-a^{\prime \prime}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+a^{\prime \prime}\left\|H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-a^{\prime \prime}\right) M_{1}+a^{\prime \prime} M_{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-a^{\prime \prime}\right) M_{2}+a^{\prime \prime} M_{2} \\
& =M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, from the above demonstration, we can conclude that the sequence $\left\{\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. Since $S_{i}$ is uniformly continuous, it follows that $\left\{\left\|S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ and $\left\{\left\|S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ are bounded.
Denote

$$
M=\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\|+\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\|+M_{2}
$$

Using (1.11), Lemma 1.2 and (1.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \|\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+a_{n}\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p\right) \\
& +b_{n}\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right) \|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle a_{n}\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p\right)\right. \\
&\left.+b_{n}\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right), j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
&+2 a_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&+2 b_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
&+2 a_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}+T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&+2 b_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}+T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
&+2 a_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&+2 a_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&+2 b_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
&+2 b_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
&+2 a_{n}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
&+2 b_{n}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
&+2 a_{n}\left\{h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\tau_{k(n)}-\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)\right\} \\
&+2 b_{n}\left\{h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\tau_{k(n)}-\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}+2 a_{n} \xi_{n}^{i}+2 b_{n} \delta_{n}^{i} \\
&+2 a_{n} h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 a_{n} \tau_{k(n)}-2 a_{n} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
&+2 b_{n} h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 b_{n} \tau_{k(n)}-2 b_{n} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
&=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
&+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2\left(a_{n} \xi_{n}^{i}+b_{n} \delta_{n}^{i}\right) \\
&+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)} \\
&\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
&+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\} \\
&+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)}  \tag{2.2}\\
&(1-2)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{n}^{i} & =M\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\| \\
\delta_{n}^{i} & =M\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|= & \left\|y_{n}-x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|y_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
= & \|\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime}-b_{n}^{\prime}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n} \\
& +b_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-x_{n-1} \| \\
& +\| x_{n-1}-\left[\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}\right] \| \\
= & \left\|a_{n}^{\prime}\left(S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)+b_{n}^{\prime}\left(S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|a_{n}\left(x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}\right)+b_{n}\left(x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & a_{n}^{\prime}\left(\left\|S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\right)+b_{n}^{\prime}\left(\left\|S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\right) \\
& \left.+\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\right)+a_{n}\left(\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\right) \\
& \left.+b_{n}\left(\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\right)+\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\right) \\
\leq & 2 a_{n}^{\prime} M+2 b_{n}^{\prime} M+2 a_{n} M+2 b_{n} M \\
= & 2 M\left(a_{n}^{\prime}+b_{n}^{\prime}+a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From the condition (i) and (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the uniform continuity of $T_{i}$ leads to

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{n}^{i}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again from (1.11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-a^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p+p-x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\left\|H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
& \leq a_{n}^{\prime \prime}(M+M) \\
& =2 a_{n}^{\prime \prime} M \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From the condition (i) and (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the uniform continuity of $T_{i}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n}^{i}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \frac{\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
& +\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}}+\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \\
= & {\left[1+\frac{\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}-\left\{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}\right\}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}}\right]\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} } \\
& -\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \\
= & {\left[1+\frac{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)+\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)^{2}-1+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{n}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}}\right]\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} } \\
& -\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \\
= & {\left[1+\frac{\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)^{2}+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\left(h_{n}-1\right)}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}}\right]\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} } \\
& -\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+\frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{n}}+ \\
& \frac{2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\}}{1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, h_{k(n)} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}<1-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) h_{k(n)} \leq 1 \forall n \geq n_{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & {\left[1+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\left\{\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)+2\left(h_{k(n)}-1\right)\right\}\right]\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2} } \\
& -2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+4\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)} \\
& +4\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
& +2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\left\{\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)+2\left(h_{k(n)}-1\right)\right\} M^{2}+4\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \tau_{k(n)} \\
& +4\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\} \\
= & \left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\left[M ^ { 2 } \left\{\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2\left(h_{k(n)}-1\right)\right\}+2 \tau_{k(n)}+2 \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\}\right] \\
= & \left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|^{2}-2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \jmath_{n}, \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\jmath_{n}=\left[M^{2}\left\{\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)+2\left(h_{k(n)}-1\right)\right\}+2 \tau_{k(n)}+2 \max \left\{\xi_{n}^{i}, \delta_{n}^{i}\right\}\right] \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
For all $n \geq 1$, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n} & =\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\| \\
\lambda_{n} & =2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \\
\mu_{n} & =2\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) J_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, with the help of (i)-(ii), $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{k(n)}=0,(2.5),(2.9),(2.13)$ and Lemma 1.3, we obtain from (2.12) that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=0
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 extends and improves the corresponding results of Ofoedu [14], Kim [13], Rafiq [25], Tan and Xu [29], Zeng [33], Chang [2], Cho et al. [8], Chidume [5]- [6], Schu [27], Saluja [26], Gu [9], Thakur [31], Sun [28].

Using the method of proof in Theorem 2.1, we have the following results.
Corollary 2.3. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $S_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\zeta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\zeta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded, $T_{i}$ and $S_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right\}$, where $\eta_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $\zeta_{n}=\max \left\{\zeta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ be sequences in $[0,1]$, for each $n \geq 1$. Put
$\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-k_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$ and
$\ell_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle S_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-\zeta_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}, \ell_{n}\right\}$, where $\varpi_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}, \ell_{n}=\max \left\{\ell_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=$ $\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{\prime}=0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty$.

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{2.14}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\
y_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.
Proof. Set $b_{n}=b_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0$ in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded and $T_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ be sequences in $[0,1]$ for each $n \geq 1$. Put
$\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-k_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{\prime}=0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty$.

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{2.15}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\
y_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n}^{\prime} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.
Proof. Set $T_{i}=S_{i}$ in Corollary 2.3.
Corollary 2.5. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded and $T_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be bounded in $K$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[0,1]$, for each $n \geq 1$. Put $\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-k_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty$;

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K,  \tag{2.16}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n-1}
\end{array} \quad \forall n \geq 1\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.

Proof. Set $a_{n}^{\prime}=0$ in Corollary 2.4.
Corollary 2.6. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space E. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $H_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{t_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $t_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded, $T_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}, t_{n}\right\}$, where $\eta_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $t_{n}=\max \left\{t_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(H_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be sequences in [0,1] for each $n \geq 1$. Put
$\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-k_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$ and
$\vartheta_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle H_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-t_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}, \vartheta_{n}\right\}$, where $\varpi_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $\vartheta_{n}=\max \left\{\vartheta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=a_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}=\infty$.

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{2.17}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\
z_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.
Proof. Set $a_{n}=b_{n}^{\prime}=c_{n}^{\prime}=0$ in Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.7. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded and $T_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be sequences in $[0,1]$, for each $n \geq 1$. Put
$\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-k_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}=\infty$.

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{2.18}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\
z_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.
Proof. Set $T_{i}=H_{i}$ in Corollary 2.6.
Corollary 2.8. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space E. Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $I=\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$, where $\eta_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in I$. Furthermore, let $T_{i}(K)$ be bounded and $T_{i}$ be uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$. Let $h_{n}=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in [0,1], for each $n \geq 1$. Put
$\varpi_{n}^{i}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{(x, p) \in K \times F(T)}\left(\left\langle T_{i}^{n} x-p, j(x-p)\right\rangle-k_{n}^{i}\|x-p\|^{2}+\Phi_{i}(\|x-p\|)\right)\right\}$.
Let $\tau_{n}=\max \left\{\varpi_{n}^{i}: i \in I\right\}$. Let $\Phi(\wp)=\max \left\{\Phi_{i}(\wp): i \in I\right\}$, for each $\wp \geq 0$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}=\infty$

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{2.19}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-b_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}
\end{array} \quad \forall n \geq 1\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\boldsymbol{F}$.
Proof. Set $a_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0$ in Corollary 2.7.
Remark 2.9. Under suitable conditions, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.11) can also be generalized to the iterative sequences with errors. Thus all the results proved in this paper can also be proved for the iterative process with errors. In this case our main iterative process (1.11) looks like

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{2.20}\\
x_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}-b_{n}-c_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_{n}+b_{n} T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}+c_{n} u_{n}, \\
y_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime}-b_{n}^{\prime}-c_{n}^{\prime}\right) x_{n-1}+a_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} z_{n}+b_{n}^{\prime} S_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}+c_{n}^{\prime} v_{n} \\
z_{n}=\left(1-a_{n}^{\prime \prime}-b_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} H_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} w_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\},\left\{c_{n}\right\},\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{b_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{c_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\},\left\{b_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ are real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying $a_{n}+b_{n}+c_{n} \leq 1, a_{n}^{\prime}+b_{n}^{\prime}+c_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1$ and $a_{n}^{\prime \prime}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leq 1,\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences
in $K$ and $n=(k-1) N+i, i=i(n) \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}, k=k(n) \geq 1$ is some positive integers and $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we give the following example to support our results.
Example 2.10. Let $E=(-\infty,+\infty)$ with the usual norm and $K=[0,+\infty)$. Let $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function with $\Phi(0)=0$. For $N=2$, let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2},\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2},\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2}$ : $K \rightarrow K$ be defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1} x=\frac{x}{2(1+x)}, x \in[0, \infty) \text { and } \Phi(s)=\frac{s^{3}}{1+s}, \\
& T_{2} x=\frac{x}{(1+x)}, x \in[0, \infty) \text { and } \Phi(s)=\frac{s^{3}}{1+s}, \\
& S_{1} x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2 x^{2}}{1+2 x}, \text { for } x \in[0, \infty) \\
x,
\end{array} \text { for } x \in(-\infty, 0) \quad \text { and } \Phi(s)=\frac{s^{2}}{1+2 s},\right. \\
& S_{2} x=\frac{x}{1+\alpha x}, x \in[0, \infty) \text { and } \alpha \text { is closing to zero, } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } \Phi(s)=\frac{s^{3}}{1+s}, \\
& H_{1} x=\frac{x^{3}}{1+x^{2}}, x \in[0, \infty) \text { and } \Phi(s)=\frac{s^{2}}{1+s^{2}}, \\
& H_{2} x=\frac{x}{4}, x \in[0, \infty) \text { and } \Phi(s)=\frac{s^{2}}{4} . \\
& \text { Set } a_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}, b_{n}=\frac{1}{n}, a_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}, b_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n^{3}}, a_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{(n+1)+(n+1)^{2}} \text {, for all } n \geq 1 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2},\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2}$ and $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2}$ are asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings with constant sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}=\{1\}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and also uniformly continuous mappings on $[0,+\infty)$ and hence, they are asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense with $\tau_{n}=0$. Furthermore, $R\left(T_{1}\right)=\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $R\left(T_{2}\right)=[0,1)$. This follows that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ have bounded ranges. Obviously, $\mathbf{F}=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(H_{i}\right.\right.$ $))=\{0\}=p \neq \emptyset$. For arbitrary $x_{0} \in K$, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in K$ defined by (1.11) converges strongly to the common fixed point of $T_{i}$ and $S_{i}$ and $H_{i}(i=1,2)$ which is $\{0\}$, satisfying Theorem 2.1. This means that Theorem 2.1 is applicable.

Remark 2.11. All of the above results are also valid for Lipschitz asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense.

## §3 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a three-step composite implicit iteration process for approximating the common fixed point of three uniformly continuous and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate. Our new three-step composite implicit iteration process (1.11) properly includes several iteration processes in literature and also the class of asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense is the most general of those mentioned the literature. Hence, our result extends, generalizes and improves the corresponding results of Ofoedu [14], Kim [13], Rafiq [25], Tan and Xu [29], Zeng [33],

Chang [2], Cho et al. [8], Chidume [5]- [6], Schu [27], Saluja [26], Gu [9], Thahur [31], Sun [28] since their results are special cases of our result.
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