# Notes concerning Codazzi pairs on almost anti-Hermitian manifolds 

Aydin Gezer Hasan Cakicioglu


#### Abstract

Let $\nabla$ be a linear connection on a $2 n$-dimensional almost anti-Hermitian manifold $M$ equipped with an almost complex structure $J$, a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and the twin metric $G=g \circ J$. In this paper, we first introduce three types of conjugate connections of linear connections relative to $g, G$ and $J$. We obtain a simple relation among curvature tensors of these conjugate connections. To clarify the relations of these conjugate connections, we prove a result stating that conjugations along with an identity operation together act as a Klein group, which is analogue to the known result for the Hermitian case in [2]. Secondly, we give some results exhibiting occurrences of Codazzi pairs which generalize parallelism relative to $\nabla$. Under the assumption that $(\nabla, J)$ being a Codazzi pair, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition the almost anti-Hermitian manifold $(M, J, g, G)$ is an anti-Kähler relative to a torsion-free linear connection $\nabla$. Finally, we investigate statistical structures on $M$ under $\nabla$ ( $\nabla$ is a $J$-parallel torsion-free connection).


## §1 Introduction

A pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ on a smooth $2 n$-manifold $M$ is called neutral if it has signature $(n, n)$. The pair $(M, g)$ is called a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. An anti-Kähler structure on a manifold $M$ consists of an almost complex structure $J$ and a neutral metric $g$ satisfying the followings:

- algebraic conditions
(a) $J$ is an almost complex structure: $J^{2}=-i d$.
(b) The neutral metric $g$ is anti-Hermittian relative to $J$ :

$$
g(J X, J Y)=-g(X, Y)
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(J X, Y)=g(X, J Y), \forall X, Y \in T M \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]- analytic condition
(c) $J$ is parallel relative to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{g}\left(\nabla^{g} J=0\right)$. This condition is equivalent to the $C$-holomorphicity (analyticity) of the anti-Hermitian metric $g$, that is, $\Phi_{J} g=0$, where $\Phi_{J}$ is the Tachibana operator [5].

The $C$-holomorphicity (analyticity) of the anti-Hermitian metric $g$ on anti-Kähler manifolds means that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between anti-Kähler manifolds and complex Riemannian manifolds with a holomorphic metric. This fact gives us some topological obstructions to an anti-Kähler manifold, for instance, all its odd Chern numbers vanish because its holomorphic metric gives us a complex isomorphism between the complex tangent bundle and its dual; and a compact simply connected Kähler manifold cannot be anti-Kähler because it does not admit a holomorphic metric. Hence, an anti-Kähler manifold is slightly a different family of almost complex manifolds. This kind of manifolds have been also studied under the names: almost complex manifolds with Norden (or B-) metric, Kähler-Norden manifolds $[3,8,14]$.

Obviously, by algebraic conditions, the triple $(M, J, g)$ is an almost anti-Hermitian manifold. Given the anti-Hermitian structure $(J, g)$ on a manifold $M$, we can immediately recover the other anti-Hermitian metric, called the twin metric, by the formula:

$$
G(X, Y)=(g \circ J)(X, Y)=g(J X, Y)
$$

Thus, the triple $(M, J, G)$ is another almost anti-Hermitian manifold. Note that the condition (1.1) also refers to the purity of $g$ relative to $J$. From now on, by manifold we understand a smooth $2 n$-manifold and will use the notations $J, g$ and $G$ for the almost complex structure, the pseudo-Riemannian metric and the twin metric, respectively. In addition, we shall assign the quadruple $(M, J, g, G)$ as almost anti-Hermitian manifolds.

Our paper aims to study Codazzi pairs on an almost anti-Hermitian manifold ( $M, J, g, G$ ). The analogous case with almost Hermitian case has been worked out earlier by Fei and Zhang [2]. The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we start by the $g$-conjugation, $G$-conjugation and $J$-conjugation of arbitrary linear connections. Then we state the relations among the $(0,4)$-curvature tensors of these conjugate connections and also show that the set which has $g$-conjugation, $G$-conjugation, $J$-conjugation and an identity operation is a Klein group on the space of linear connections. In Sect. 3, we obtain some remarkable results under the assumption that $(\nabla, G)$ or $(\nabla, J)$ being a Codazzi pair, where $\nabla$ is a linear connection. One of them is a necessary and sufficient condition under which the almost anti-Hermitian manifold $(M, J, g, G)$ is an anti-Kähler relative to a torsion-free linear connection $\nabla$. Sect. 4 closes our paper with statistical structures under the assumption that $\nabla$ being $J$-parallel relative to a torsion-free linear connection $\nabla$.

## §2 Conjugate connections

In the following let $(M, J, g, G)$ be an almost anti-Hermitian manifold and $\nabla$ be a linear connection. We define respectively the conjugate connections of $\nabla$ relative to $g$ and $G$ as the
linear connections determined by the equations:

$$
Z g(X, Y)=g\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)+g\left(X, \nabla_{Z}^{*} Y\right)
$$

and

$$
Z G(X, Y)=G\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)+G\left(X, \nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right)
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$. We are calling these connections $g$-conjugate connection and $G$-conjugate connection, respectively. Conjugate connections with respect to the metric were studied in $[1,9,10]$. Note that both $g$-conjugate connection and $G$-conjugate connection of a linear connection are involutive: $\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{*}=\nabla$ and $\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger}=\nabla$. Conjugate connections are a natural generalization of Levi-Civita connections from Riemannian manifolds theory. Especially, $\nabla^{*}$ (or $\nabla^{\dagger}$ ) coincides with $\nabla$ if and only if $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ (or $G$ ).

Given a linear connection $\nabla$ of $(M, J, g, G)$, the $J$-conjugate connection of $\nabla$, denoted $\nabla^{J}$, is a new linear connection given by

$$
\nabla^{J}(X, Y)=J^{-1}\left(\nabla_{X} J Y\right)
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$ [12]. Conjugate connections with respect to $J$ were studied in $[2,4,12,13]$.

Through relationships among the $g$-conjugate connection $\nabla^{*}, G$-conjugate connection $\nabla^{\dagger}$ and $J$-conjugate connection $\nabla^{J}$ of $\nabla$, we give the following theorem which is analogue to the known result given by Fei and Zhang [2] for Hermitian setting. Also, in our setting, we present detailed proof by using different arguments.

Theorem 1. Let $(M, J, g, G)$ be an almost anti-Hermitian manifold. $\nabla^{*}, \nabla^{\dagger}$ and $\nabla^{J}$ denote respectively $g$-conjugation, $G$-conjugation and $J$-conjugation of a linear connection $\nabla$. Then $(i d, *, \dagger, J)$ acts as the 4 -element Klein group on the space of linear connections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i)\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{*} & =\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\nabla^{J}\right)^{J}=\nabla \\
i i)\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{J} & =\left(\nabla^{J}\right)^{\dagger}=\nabla^{*}, \\
i i i)\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J} & =\left(\nabla^{J}\right)^{*}=\nabla^{\dagger}, \\
i v)\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{\dagger} & =\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=\nabla^{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. i) The statement is a direct consequence of definitions of conjugate connections.
ii) We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)_{Z}^{J} X, Y\right) & =G\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{Z}^{\dagger}(J X), Y\right) \\
& =G\left(\nabla_{Z}^{\dagger}(J X), J^{-1} Y\right) \\
& =Z G\left(J X, J^{-1} Y\right)-G\left(J X, \nabla_{Z}\left(J^{-1} Y\right)\right) \\
& =Z g\left(J^{2} X, J^{-1} Y\right)-g\left(J^{2} X, \nabla_{Z}\left(J^{-1} Y\right)\right) \\
& =-Z g\left(X, J^{-1} Y\right)+g\left(X, \nabla_{Z}\left(J^{-1} Y\right)\right) \\
& =-g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} X, J^{-1} Y\right)=G\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} X, Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives $\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{J}=\nabla^{*}$. Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z G(X, Y) & =G\left(\nabla_{Z}^{J} X, Y\right)+G\left(X,\left(\nabla^{J}\right)_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right) \\
Z g(J X, Y) & =g\left(J J^{-1} \nabla_{Z}(J X), Y\right)+g\left(J X,\left(\nabla^{J}\right)_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right) \\
Z g(J X, Y) & =g\left(\nabla_{Z}(J X), Y\right)+g\left(J X,\left(\nabla^{J}\right)_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right) \\
g\left(J X, \nabla_{Z}^{*} Y\right) & =g\left(J X,\left(\nabla^{J}\right)_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes $\left(\nabla^{J}\right)^{\dagger}=\nabla^{*}$. Hence, we get $\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{J}=\left(\nabla^{J}\right)^{\dagger}=\nabla$.
iii) On applying the $J$-conjugation to both sides of $i i), \nabla^{\dagger}=\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J}$ and also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(J X,\left(\nabla^{J}\right)_{Z}^{*} Y\right) & =Z g(J X, Y)-g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{J}(J X), Y\right) \\
& =Z G(X, Y)-G\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{Z}^{J}(J X), Y\right) \\
& =Z G(X, Y)-G\left(J^{-1} J^{-1} \nabla_{Z}\left(J^{2} X\right), Y\right) \\
& =Z G(X, Y)-G\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right) \\
& =G\left(X, \nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right)=g\left(J X, \nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These show that $\nabla^{\dagger}=\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J}=\left(\nabla^{J}\right)^{*}$.
iv) On applying the $G$-conjugation to both sides of $i i), \nabla^{J}=\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{\dagger}$ and on applying the $g$-conjugation to both sides of $i i i), \nabla^{J}=\left(\nabla^{\dagger}\right)^{*}$. Thus, the proof completes.

Recall that the curvature tensor field $R$ of a linear connection $\nabla$ is the tensor field, for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$,

$$
R(X, Y) Z=\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z
$$

If $(M, g)$ is a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold, it is sometimes convenient to view the curvature tensor field as a $(0,4)$-tensor field by:

$$
R(X, Y, Z, W)=g(R(X, Y) Z, W)
$$

called the $(0,4)$-curvature tensor field. If we consider the relationship among the $(0,4)$-curvature tensor fields of $\nabla, \nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{J}$, we obtain the following.

Theorem 2. Let $(M, J, g, G)$ be an almost anti-Hermitian manifold. $\nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{J}$ denote respectively $g$-conjugation and $J$-conjugation of a linear connection $\nabla$ on $M$. The relationship among the ( 0,4 - -curvature tensor fields $R, R^{*}$ and $R^{J}$ of $\nabla, \nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{J}$ is as follow:

$$
R(X, Y, J Z, W)=-R^{*}(X, Y, W, J Z)=R^{J}(X, Y, Z, J W)
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ on $M$.

Proof. Since the relation is linear in the arguments $X, Y, W$ and $Z$, it suffices to prove it only on a basis. Therefore we assume $X, Y, W, Z \in\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2 n}}\right\}$ and take computational advantage of the following vanishing Lie brackets

$$
[X, Y]=[Y, W]=[W, Z]=0
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
X Y G(Z, W)= & X(Y g(J Z, W)) \\
= & X\left(g\left(\nabla_{Y} J Z, W\right)\right)+X\left(g\left(J Z, \nabla_{Y}^{*} W\right)\right) \\
= & g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} J Z, W\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Y} J Z, \nabla_{X}^{*} W\right) \\
& +g\left(\nabla_{X} J Z, \nabla_{Y}^{*} W\right)+g\left(J Z, \nabla_{X}^{*} \nabla_{Y}^{*} W\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by alternation

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y X G(Z, W)= & g\left(\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} J Z, W\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X} J Z, \nabla_{Y}^{*} W\right) \\
& +g\left(\nabla_{Y} J Z, \nabla_{X}^{*} W\right)+g\left(J Z, \nabla_{Y}^{*} \nabla_{X}^{*} W\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the above relations, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =[X, Y] G(Z, W)=X Y G(Z, W)-Y X G(Z, W) \\
0 & =g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} J Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} J Z, W\right)+g\left(J Z, \nabla_{X}^{*} \nabla_{Y}^{*} W-\nabla_{Y}^{*} \nabla_{X}^{*} W\right) \\
0 & =R(X, Y, J Z, W)+R^{*}(X, Y, W, J Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {[X, Y] G(Z, W)=X Y G(Z, W)-Y X G(Z, W) } \\
0= & G\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{X} J\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{Y} J Z\right)-J^{-1} \nabla_{Y} J\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{X} J Z\right), W\right) \\
& +G\left(Z, \nabla_{X}^{*} \nabla_{Y}^{*} W-\nabla_{Y}^{*} \nabla_{X}^{*} W\right) \\
0= & G\left(\nabla_{X}^{J} \nabla_{Y}^{J} Z-\nabla_{Y}^{J} \nabla_{X}^{J} Z, W\right) \\
& +G\left(Z, \nabla_{X}^{*} \nabla_{Y}^{*} W-\nabla_{Y}^{*} \nabla_{X}^{*} W\right) \\
0= & g\left(\nabla_{X}^{J} \nabla_{Y}^{J} Z-\nabla_{Y}^{J} \nabla_{X}^{J} Z, J W\right) \\
& +g\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} \nabla_{Y}^{*} W-\nabla_{Y}^{*} \nabla_{X}^{*} W, J Z\right) \\
0= & R^{J}(X, Y, Z, J W)+R^{*}(X, Y, W, J Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it follows that $R(X, Y, J Z, W)=-R^{*}(X, Y, W, J Z)=R^{J}(X, Y, Z, J W)$.

## §3 Codazzi Pairs

Let $\nabla$ be an arbitrary linear connection on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Given the pair $(\nabla, g)$, we construct respectively the $(0,3)$-tensor fields $F$ and $F^{*}$ by

$$
F(X, Y, Z):=\left(\nabla_{Z} g\right)(X, Y)
$$

and

$$
F^{*}(X, Y, Z):=\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} g\right)(X, Y)
$$

where $\nabla^{*}$ is $g$-conjugation of $\nabla$. The tensor field $F$ (or $F^{*}$ ) is sometimes referred to as the cubic form associated to the pair $(\nabla, g)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left(\nabla^{*}, g\right)\right)$. These tensors are related via

$$
F(X, Y, Z)=g\left(X,\left(\nabla^{*}-\nabla\right)_{Z} Y\right)
$$

so that

$$
F^{*}(X, Y, Z):=\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} g\right)(X, Y)=-F(X, Y, Z)
$$

Therefore $F(X, Y, Z)=F^{*}(X, Y, Z)=0$ if and only if $\nabla^{*}=\nabla$, that is, $\nabla$ is $g$-self-conjugate [2].
For an almost complex structure $J$, a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and a symmetric bilinear form $\rho$ on a manifold $M$, we call $(\nabla, J)$ and $(\nabla, \rho)$, respectively, a Codazzi pair, if their covariant derivative $(\nabla J)$ and $(\nabla \rho)$, respectively, is (totally) symmetric in $X, Y, Z$ [12]:

$$
\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X=\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Z,\left(\nabla_{Z} \rho\right)(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} \rho\right)(Z, Y)
$$

### 3.1 The Codazzi pair $(\nabla, G)$

Let $\nabla$ be a linear connection $\nabla$ on $(M, J, g, G)$. Next we shall consider the Codazzi pair $(\nabla, G)$. In here, the $(0,3)$-tensor field $F$ is defined by

$$
F(X, Y, Z):=\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y)
$$

Now we shall state the following proposition without proof, because its proof is easily obtained from some relations well-known concerning with the cubic form $C$ and Codazzi condition. We omit standard calculations.

Proposition 1. (See also [12]) Let $\nabla$ be a linear connection on $(M, J, g, G)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
i) $(\nabla, G)$ is a Codazzi pair
ii) $\left(\nabla^{\dagger}, G\right)$ is a Codazzi pair,
iii) $F^{\dagger}(X, Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} G\right)(X, Y)$ is totally symmetric,
iv) $T^{\nabla}=T^{\nabla^{\dagger}}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\nabla$ be a linear connection on $(M, J, g, G)$. If $(\nabla, G)$ is a Codazzi pair, then the following statements hold:
i) $F(X, Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y)$ is totally symmetric,
ii) $\left(\nabla_{J Z}^{*} G\right)(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{J X}^{*} G\right)(Z, Y)$,
iii) $T^{\nabla}=T^{\nabla^{*}}$ if and only if $\left(\nabla^{*}, J\right)$ is a Codazzi pair,
iv) $T^{\nabla}=T^{\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J}}$,
where $\nabla^{*}$ is the $g-$ conjugation of $\nabla$ and $\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J}$ is the $J$-conjugation of $\nabla^{*}$.
Proof. i) Due to symmetry of $G, F(X, Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(Y, X)=F(Y, X, Z)$. Also for $(\nabla, G)$ being a Codazzi pair, $F(X, Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y)=F(X, Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{X} G\right)(Z, Y)$ $=F(Z, Y, X)$, that is, $F$ is totally symmetric in all of its indices.
ii) By virtue of the purity of $g$ relative to $J$, we yield

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} G\right)(Z, Y) \\
Z g(J X, Y)-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-g\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right) \\
=\quad X g(J Z, Y)-g\left(J \nabla_{X} Z, Y\right)-g\left(J Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X), Y\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z), Y\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X), Y\right)-Z g(X, J Y)+g\left(X, \nabla_{Z}^{*}(J Y)\right) \\
=g\left(\nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z), Y\right)-X g(Z, J Y)+g\left(Z, \nabla_{X}^{*}(J Y)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z g(X, J Y)-g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X), Y\right)-g\left(X, \nabla_{Z}^{*}(J Y)\right) \\
= & X g(Z, J Y)-g\left(\nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z), Y\right)-g\left(Z, \nabla_{X}^{*}(J Y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $X=J X, Y=J Y$ and $Z=J Z$ in the last relation, we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
J Z g(J X, J(J Y))-g\left(\nabla_{J Z}^{*}(J(J X)), J Y\right)-g\left(J X, \nabla_{J Z}^{*}(J(J Y))\right) \\
=\quad J X g(J Z, J(J Y))-g\left(\nabla_{J X}^{*}(J(J Z)), J Y\right)-g\left(J Z, \nabla_{J X}^{*}(J(J Y))\right) \\
J Z g(J X, Y)-g\left(\nabla_{J Z}^{*} X, J Y\right)-g\left(J X, \nabla_{J Z}^{*} Y\right) \\
=\quad J X g(J Z, Y)-g\left(\nabla_{J X}^{*} Z, J Y\right)-g\left(J Z, \nabla_{J X}^{*} Y\right) \\
\\
J Z G(X, Y)-G\left(\nabla_{J Z}^{*} X, Y\right)-G\left(X, \nabla_{J Z}^{*} Y\right) \\
= \\
J X G(Z, Y)-G\left(\nabla_{J X}^{*} Z, Y\right)-G\left(Z, \nabla_{J X}^{*} Y\right) \\
\left(\nabla_{J Z}^{*} G\right)(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{J X}^{*} G\right)(Z, Y) .
\end{gathered}
$$

iii) Let $T^{\nabla}$ and $T^{\nabla^{*}}$ be respectively the torsion tensors of $\nabla$ and its $g$-conjugation $\nabla^{*}$. We calculate

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} G\right)(Z, Y) \\
Z g(J X, Y)-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-g\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right) \\
=X g(J Z, Y)-g\left(J \nabla_{X} Z, Y\right)-g\left(J Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X), Y\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right) \\
=g\left(\nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z), Y\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
G\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X), Y\right)-G\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right) \\
=G\left(J^{-1} \nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z), Y\right)-G\left(\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
G\left(J^{-1}\left\{\nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X)-\nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z)\right\}, Y\right)=G\left(\nabla_{Z} X-\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
J^{-1}\left\{\nabla_{Z}^{*}(J X)-\nabla_{X}^{*}(J Z)\right\}=\nabla_{Z} X-\nabla_{X} Z \\
J^{-1}\left\{\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} J\right) X+J \nabla_{Z}^{*} X-\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} J\right) Z-J \nabla_{X}^{*} Z\right\}=\nabla_{Z} X-\nabla_{X} Z \\
J^{-1}\left\{\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} J\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} J\right) Z\right\}+\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} X-\nabla_{X}^{*} Z-[Z, X]\right) \\
=\nabla_{Z} X-\nabla_{X} Z-[Z, X] \\
J^{-1}\left\{\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} J\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} J\right) Z\right\}+T^{\nabla^{*}}(Z, X)=T^{\nabla}(Z, X) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that $T^{\nabla^{*}}(Z, X)=T^{\nabla}(Z, X)$ if and only if $\left(\nabla_{Z}^{*} J\right) X=\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} J\right) Z$.
iv) From (3.1), we can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
G\left(\left(\nabla^{*}\right)_{Z}^{J} X-\left(\nabla^{*}\right)_{X}^{J} Z, Y\right)=G\left(\nabla_{Z} X-\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
G\left(T^{\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J}}(Z, X), Y\right)=G\left(T^{\nabla}(Z, X), Y\right) \\
T^{\left(\nabla^{*}\right)^{J}}(Z, X)=T^{\nabla}(Z, X) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As a corollary to Proposition 1 and 2, we obtain the following conclusion.
Corollary 1. Let $(M, J, g, G)$ be an almost anti-Hermitian manifold. $\nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{\dagger}$ denote respectively $g$-conjugation and $G$-conjugation of a linear connection $\nabla$ on $M$. If $(\nabla, G)$ and $\left(\nabla^{*}, J\right)$ are Codazzi pairs, then $T^{\nabla}=T^{\nabla^{*}}=T^{\nabla^{\dagger}}$.

### 3.2 The Codazzi pair $(\nabla, J)$

Proposition 3. Let $\nabla$ be a linear connection on $(M, J, g, G) . \nabla^{\dagger}$ denote $G$-conjugation of $\nabla$ on $M$. Under the assumption that $(\nabla, G)$ being a Codazzi pair, $\left(\nabla^{\dagger}, J\right)$ is a Codazzi pair if and only if $(\nabla, g)$ is so.
Proof. Using the definition of $G$-conjugation and $T^{\nabla}=T^{\nabla^{\dagger}}$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(\left(\nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} J\right) X-\right. & \left.\left(\nabla_{X}^{\dagger} J\right) Z, Y\right)=G\left(\nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} J X-J \nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} X, Y\right)-G\left(\nabla_{X}^{\dagger} J Z-J \nabla_{X}^{\dagger} Z, Y\right) \\
= & Z G(J X, Y)-G\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right)-G\left(J \nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} X, Y\right)-X G(J Z, Y) \\
& +G\left(J Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right)+G\left(J \nabla_{X}^{\dagger} Z, Y\right) \\
= & Z G(J X, Y)-G\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right)-X G(J Z, Y)+G\left(J Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
& +G\left(J\left(\nabla_{X}^{\dagger} Z-\nabla_{Z}^{\dagger} X-[Z, X]\right)+J[Z, X], Y\right) \\
= & Z G(J X, Y)-G\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right)-X G(J Z, Y)+G\left(J Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
& +G\left(J\left(\nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{Z} X-[Z, X]\right)+J[Z, X], Y\right) \\
& =-Z g(X, Y)+g\left(X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right)+X g(Z, Y) \\
& -g\left(Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
& =\left(\nabla_{Z} g\right)(X, Y)-\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(Z, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the set $R(j)=\left\{a_{0}+a_{1} j: j^{2}=-1 ; a_{0}, a_{1} \in R\right\}$, which is the algebra of comple区 numbers over the field of real numbers $R$. The canonical bases of this algebra has the form $\{1, j\}$. An $2 k$-dimensional manifold $M_{2 k}$ with an integrable complex structure $J$ is a real realization of the holomorphic manifold $M_{k}(R(j))$ over the algebra $R(j)$ with dimension $k$. Let $t^{*}$ be a complex tensor field on $M_{k}(R(j))$. The real model of such a tensor field is a tensor field on $M_{2 k}$ of the same type and also is pure relative to the complex structure $J$ (for pure tensor fields, see [11]). If $J$ is a complex structure on $M_{2 k}$ and $\Phi_{J} t=0$, then the complex tensor field $t^{*}$ on $M_{k}(R(j))$ is said to be holomorphic [6,11]. Therefore, we can say that the real model of a holomorphic tensor field $t^{*}$ on $M_{k}(R(j))$ is the same type pure tensor field on $M_{2 k}$ such that $\Phi_{J} t=0$. Now we consider the $\Phi$-operator (or Tachibana operator [15]) applied to the anti-Hermitian metric $g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{J} g\right)(X, Y, Z)=\left(L_{J X} g-L_{X}(g \circ J)\right)(Y, Z) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the fact that the twin metric $G$ on an almost anti-Hermitian manifold ( $M, J, g$ ) is an anti-Hermitian metric, we can apply the $\Phi$-operator to the twin metric $G$ [11]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Phi_{J} G\right)(X, Y, Z) & =\left(L_{J X} G-L_{X}(G \circ J)\right)(Y, Z)  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\left(\Phi_{J} g\right)(X, J Y, Z)+g\left(N_{J}(X, Y), Z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{J}$ is the Nijenhuis tensor field defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{J}(X, Y)=[J X, J Y]-J[J X, Y]-J[X, J Y]-[X, Y] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$.
Proposition 4. Let $\nabla$ be a torsion-free linear connection on $(M, J, g, G)$. If $(\nabla, J)$ is a Codazzi pair, then

$$
\left(\Phi_{J} G\right)(X, Y, Z)=\left(\Phi_{J} g\right)(X, J Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{J X} G\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(J Y, J Z) .
$$

Proof. Using $\nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{Z} X=[Z, X]$, from (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{J} g\right)(X, J Y, Z)=\left(L_{J X} g-\left(L_{X} g o J\right)(J Y, Z)\right. \\
& =\left(L_{J X} g\right)(J Y, Z)-\left(L_{X} g o J\right)(J Y, Z) \\
& =J X g(J Y, Z)-g\left(L_{J X} J Y, Z\right)-g\left(J Y, L_{J X} Z\right)-X g o J(J Y, Z) \\
& +\operatorname{goJ}\left(L_{X} J Y, Z\right)+\operatorname{goJ}\left(J Y, L_{X} Z\right) \\
& =J X g(J Y, Z)-g([J X, J Y], Z)-g(J Y,[J X, Z])-X g o J(J Y, Z) \\
& +\operatorname{goJ}([X, J Y], Z)+\operatorname{goJ}(J Y,[X, Z]) \\
& =J X g(J Y, Z)-g\left(\nabla_{J X} J Y-\nabla_{J Y} J X, Z\right)-g\left(J Y, \nabla_{J X} Z-\nabla_{Z} J X\right) \\
& -X \operatorname{go} J(J Y, Z)+\operatorname{go} J\left(\nabla_{X} J Y-\nabla_{J Y} X, Z\right)+\operatorname{goJ}\left(J Y, \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{Z} X\right) \\
& =J X g(J Y, Z)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{J X} J\right) Y+J \nabla_{J X} Y-\left(\nabla_{J Y} J\right) X-J \nabla_{J Y} X, Z\right) \\
& -g\left(J Y, \nabla_{J X} Z-\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X-J \nabla_{Z} X\right)-X g(J Y, J Z) \\
& +g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y+J \nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{J Y} X, J Z\right)+g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{X} Z-J \nabla_{Z} X\right) \\
& =J X g(J Y, Z)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{J X} J\right) Y, Z\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{J X} Y, Z\right) \\
& +g\left(\left(\nabla_{J Y} J\right) X, Z\right)+g\left(J \nabla_{J Y} X, Z\right)-g\left(J Y, \nabla_{J X} Z\right)+g\left(J Y,\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X\right) \\
& +g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{Z} X\right)-X g(J Y, J Z)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y, J Z\right)+g\left(J \nabla_{X} Y, J Z\right) \\
& -g\left(\nabla_{J Y} X, J Z\right)+g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{X} Z\right)-g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{Z} X\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the purity of $g$ relative to $J,\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X=\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Z$, the last relation reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
&= J X g(J Y, Z)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{J X} J\right) Y, Z\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{J X} Y, Z\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{J Y} J\right) X, Z\right) \\
&+g\left(J \nabla_{J Y} X, Z\right)-g\left(J Y, \nabla_{J X} Z\right)+g\left(J Y,\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X\right) \\
&+g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{Z} X\right)-X g(J Y, J Z)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y, J Z\right) \\
&+g\left(J \nabla_{X} Y, J Z\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{J Y} X, Z\right)+g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{X} Z\right)-g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{Z} X\right) \\
&= J X g(J Y, Z)-g\left(J \nabla_{J X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(J Y, \nabla_{J X} Z\right)+g\left(J Y,\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X\right) \\
&-X g(J Y, J Z)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y, J Z\right)+g\left(J \nabla_{X} Y, J Z\right)+g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{X} Z\right) \\
&= J X G(Y, Z)-G\left(\nabla_{J X} Y, Z\right)-G\left(Y, \nabla_{J X} Z\right)-X g(J Y, J Z) \\
&+g\left(\nabla_{X} J Y, J Z\right)+g\left(J Y, \nabla_{Z} J X\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\nabla_{J X} G\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(J Y, J Z) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Relative to the torsion-free connection $\nabla$, the Nijenhuis tensor has the following form:

$$
N_{J}(X, Y)=-J\left\{\left(\nabla_{J Y} J\right) J X-\left(\nabla_{J X} J\right) J Y\right\}+J\left\{\left(\nabla_{Y} J\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y\right\} .
$$

From here, it is easy to show $N_{J}(X, Y)=0$ because $(\nabla, J)$ is a Codazzi pair. Hence, taking account of (3.3) and (3.5) we have

$$
\left(\Phi_{J} G\right)(X, Y, Z)=\left(\Phi_{J} g\right)(X, J Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{J X} G\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(J Y, J Z)
$$

As is well known, the anti-Kähler condition $\left(\nabla^{g} J=0\right)$ is equivalent to $C$-holomorphici岛 (analyticity) of the anti-Hermitian metric $g$, that is, $\Phi_{J} g=0$. If the anti-Hermitian metric $g$ is $C$-holomorphic, then the triple $(M, J, g)$ is an anti-Kähler manifold [5].

Theorem 3. Let $\nabla$ be a torsion-free linear connection on $(M, J, g, G)$. Under the assumption that $(\nabla, J)$ being a Codazzi pair, $(M, J, g, G)$ is an anti-Kähler manifold if and only if the following condition is fulfilled:

$$
\left(\nabla_{J X} G\right)(Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(J Y, J Z)
$$

Proof. The statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.

## §4 J-parallel Linear Connections

Given arbitrary linear connection $\nabla$ on an almost complex manifold $(M, J)$, if the following condition is satisfied:

$$
\nabla_{X} J Y=J \nabla_{X} Y
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$, then $\nabla$ is called a $J$-parallel linear connection on $M$.
Proposition 5. Let $\nabla$ be a linear connection on $(M, J, g, G) . \nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{\dagger}$ denote respectively $g-$ conjugation and $G$-conjugation of $\nabla$ on $M$. Then
i) $\nabla$ is $J$-parallel if and only if $\nabla^{*}$ is so.
ii) $\nabla$ is $J$-parallel if and only if $\nabla^{\dagger}$ is so.

Proof. $i$ ) Using the definition of $g$-conjugation and the purity of $g$ relative to $J$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
G\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} J Y-J \nabla_{X}^{*} Y, Z\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} J Y, J Z\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{X}^{*} Y, J Z\right) \\
=-X g(Y, Z)-g\left(J Y, \nabla_{X} J Z\right)+X g(Y, Z)-g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right) \\
=-g\left(J Y, \nabla_{X} J Z\right)+g\left(J Y, J \nabla_{X} Z\right)=-G\left(Y, \nabla_{X} J Z\right)+G\left(Y, J \nabla_{X} Z\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $\nabla_{X}^{*} J Y=J \nabla_{X}^{*} Y$ if and only if $\nabla_{X} J Z=J \nabla_{X} Z$.
ii) Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(\nabla_{X}^{\dagger} J Y-J \nabla_{X}^{\dagger} Y, Z\right)=G\left(\nabla_{X}^{\dagger} J Y, Z\right)-G\left(J \nabla_{X}^{\dagger} Y, Z\right) \\
= & X G(J Y, Z)-G\left(J Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)-X G(Y, J Z)+G\left(Y, \nabla_{X} J Z\right) \\
= & G\left(Y, \nabla_{X} J Z\right)-G\left(J Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)=G\left(\nabla_{X} J Z-J \nabla_{X} Z, Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result.
Proposition 6. Let $\nabla$ be a $J$-parallel linear connection on $(M, J, g, G) . \nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{\dagger}$ denote respectively $g$-conjugation and $G$-conjugation of $\nabla$ on $M$. The following statements hold:
i) $\nabla^{\dagger}$ coincides with $\nabla^{*}$,
ii) $(\nabla, G)$ is a Codazzi pair if and only if $(\nabla, g)$ is so.

Proof. i) Because $\nabla$ is $J$-parallel, $\nabla J=0, \nabla=\nabla^{*}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $\nabla^{\dagger}=\nabla^{*}$. ii) Using the purity of $g$ relative to $J$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{Z} G\right)(X, Y) & =\left(\nabla_{X} G\right)(Z, Y) \\
Z g(J X, Y)-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-g\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right) & =X g(J Z, Y)-g\left(J \nabla_{X} Z, Y\right)-g\left(J Z, \nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
Z g(X, J Y)-g\left(\nabla_{Z} X, J Y\right)-g\left(X, J \nabla_{Z} Y\right) & =X g(Z, J Y)-g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, J Y\right)-g\left(Z, J \nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
Z g(X, J Y)-g\left(\nabla_{Z} X, J Y\right)-g\left(X, \nabla_{Z} J Y\right) & =X g(Z, J Y)-g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, J Y\right)-g\left(Z, \nabla_{X} J Y\right) \\
\left(\nabla_{Z} g\right)(X, J Y) & =\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(Z, J Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the moment, we consider a torsion-free linear connection $\nabla$ on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. In the case, if $(\nabla, g)$ is a Codazzi pair which characterizes what is known to information geometers as statistical structures, then the manifold $M$ together with a statistical structure $(\nabla, g)$ is called a statistical manifold. The notion of statistical manifold was originally introduced by Lauritzen [7]. Statistical manifolds are widely studied in affine differential geometry $[7,9]$ and plays a central role in information geometry.

Corollary 2. Let $\nabla$ be a $J$-parallel torsion-free linear connection on $(M, J, g, G) . \nabla^{*}$ denote the $g$-conjugation of $\nabla$ on $M .(\nabla, G)$ is a statistical structure if and only if $\left(\nabla^{*}, G\right)$ is so.

Proof. The result immediately follows from Proposition 2, using the condition of $\nabla$ being $J$-parallel.
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